

Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2009/2010

ALBERTA EDUCATION CATALOGUING IN PUBLICATION DATA

Alberta. Alberta Education. Special Education.

Handbook for the identification and review of students with severe disabilities.

ISSN 1911-432X

1. Students with severe disabilities - Alberta - Identification. 2. Students with disabilities - Education - Alberta. I. Title.

LC 4634.2.A3.A333 2005

371.9

For further information, contact:

Alberta Education Special Education Branch Main Floor, 44 Capital Boulevard 10044 – 108 Street Edmonton, Alberta T5J 5E6

Telephone: (780) 422–6326 (Edmonton) or toll-free in Alberta by dialing 310-0000

Fax: (780) 422-2039

Document is available on the Alberta Education website: http://education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Copyright © 2009 the Crown in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Education. Alberta Education, 44 Capital Boulevard, Edmonton, Alberta, T5J 5E6.

Permission is given by the copyright owner to reproduce this document for educational purposes and on a non-profit basis.

Table of Contents

Section 1 - General Information

| Introduction | |
|---|----|
| 2009/2010 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance | 2 |
| Documentation Requirements for All School Authorities | 4 |
| Appeal of Severe Disabilities Funding | 5 |
| ection 2 – Severe Disabilities Categories | |
| Severe Cognitive Disability (Code 41) | 7 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Brandon | |
| Severe Emotional/Behavioural Disability (Code 42) | 20 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Harley | |
| Severe Multiple Disability (Code 43) | 34 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Amina. | |
| Severe Physical or Medical Disability (Code 44) | 46 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Cheng | |
| Deafness (Code 45) | 60 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Lukina | |
| Blindness (Code 46) | 75 |
| Special Education Coding Criteria | |
| Questions and Answers | |
| Case Study — Shannon | |
| ection 3 — Appendices | |
| Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010 | 87 |
| Profil de l'élève ayant une déficience grave (formulaire) | 89 |
| Sample Chart for Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42 | |
| Chart for Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42 | |
| Answers to Commonly Asked Questions | |
| Glossary | 94 |
| Resources | |
| | |

Section 1 - General Information

Introduction

Alberta Education provides funding to school authorities to support the development and implementation of programming for students with severe disabilities. The Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities presents case studies to assist school authorities in identifying students who may be eligible for special education programming and services. Information related to severe disabilities funding requirements is in the Funding Manual for School Authorities 2009-2010 School Year.

Funding for students with severe disabilities in school jurisdictions is based on the established severe disabilities jurisdiction profile. Funding for students with severe disabilities in private schools, designated special education private schools and charter schools continues to be based on the approval of students on an individual basis.

School authority personnel should direct enquiries regarding the identification of students with severe disabilities and related funding to Special Education Branch at (780)422-6326, toll-free in Alberta by first dialling 310-0000.

2009/2010 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance

| | | | 1 |
|--|--|---|---|
| Student identification | All schools/school authorities | Code students with severe disabilities. | Complete by Sep 30, 2009 |
| Special Programs Branch Report | Private, charter and designated special education private schools | Send student coding to Information Services Branch. Send report via Edulink. | Count as of Sep 30, 2009 Submission by Oct 3, 2009 |
| Identification of students to be monitored | Special Education Branch | Special Education Branch provides school with list of students to be monitored. | Mid-October to mid-November 2009 |
| Preparation of documentation for monitoring | School staff | Complete Student Monitoring Form 2009/2010 include copies of recent assessment/ diagnostic reports include IPP include anecdotal notes, where applicable. | Complete by mid-November 2009 |
| Monitoring of student files | Special Education Branch | Either on-site visit or send copies of file information to Special Education Branch when requested. Optional: | November 2009 to January 2010 |
| Severe Disabilities Funding Payment based on | Special Education Branch | School authority advised of number of approved students and next monitoring dates. | Mid-January 2010 |
| September 30, 2009 enrollment | School Finance Branch | School Finance Branch advised of number of approved students and finalizes payments. | |
| March Prorated Funding | School/school authority | Identify students with severe disabilities who enroll after September 30, 2009. Resolve any priority school conflicts. Resolve any transfer payments between private/charter schools. Send student coding to Information Services and School Finance branches. Send Special Programs Branch Report via Edulink. | Count as of Mar 1, 2010 Submission by Mar 4, 2010 |

2009/2010 Severe Disabilities Funding Process at a Glance

| REQUIREMENT | RESPONSIBILITY | ACTION | DATE |
|--|--|--|---|
| Student identification | All schools/school authorities | Code students with severe disabilities | Complete by Sep 30, 2009 |
| Special Programs Branch Report | Private charter and designated special education private schools | Send student coding to Information Services Branch Send report via Edulink | Count as of Sep 30, 2009 Submission by Oct 3, 2009 |
| identification of students to be monitored | Special Education Branch | Special Education Branch provides school with list of students to be monitored | Mid-October to mid-November 2009 |
| Preparation of documentation for monitoring | School staff | Complete Student Monitoring Form 2009/2010 include copies of recent assersment/ diagnostic reports include IPP include anecdotal notes where applicable | Complete by mid-November 2009 |
| Monitoring of student files | Special Education Branch | Either on-site visit or send copies of file information to Special Education Branch when requested Optional classroom observation of students discussion with school staff regarding student needs and supports | November 2009 to January 2010 |
| Severe Disabilities Funding Payment based on | Special Education Branch | School authority advised of number of approved students and next monitoring dates | Mid-January 2010 |
| September 30, 2009 enrollment | School Finance Branch | School Finance Branch advised of number of approved students and finalizes payments | |
| March Prorated Funding | School/school authority | Identify students with severe disabilities who enroll after September 30, 2009 Resolve any priority school conflicts Resolve any transfer payments between private/charter schools Send student coding to Information Services and School Finance branches Send Special Programs Branch Report via Edulink | Count as of Mar 1 2010 Submission by Mar 4 2010 |

| | | | 9 |
|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Preparation of documentation for prorated funding monitoring | School staff | Complete Student Monitoring Form 2009/2010 include copies of recent assessment/ diagnostic reports include IPP include anecdotal notes, where applicable | Complete by mid-March 2010 |
| Prorated funding monitoring of student files | Special Education Branch | Send documentation to Special Education Branch for monitoring when requested | Mid-April 2010 |
| Severe Disabilities Funding Payment based on March 1, 2010 enrollment | Special Education Branch | School authority advised of number of approved students and next monitoring dates | Mid-April to May 2010 |
| | School Finance Branch | School Finance Branch advised of number of approved students and finalizes payments | |

Documentation Requirements for All School Authorities

School jurisdictions, charter schools, private schools, and designated special education private schools that receive funding from Alberta Education for students with severe disabilities must ensure that the following conditions are met.

- Funding for students with severe disabilities is based on all of the following requirements being met and implemented at the school level:
 - assessment and diagnosis by qualified personnel (note: diagnosis alone is not sufficient to qualify for funding)
 - documentation/assessment of the student's current level of functioning in the learning environment
 - a current individualized program plan (IPP) that addresses the student's diagnosed needs
 - identification of the types of supports and services being provided to the student.
- The IPP must be supported by appropriate medical, psychological or other
 professional documentation for each student with a severe disability identified and
 attending a school of the authority.
- Students with severe disabilities must receive three or more levels of support identified below:
 - a) a minimum 0.5 FTE one-to-one instruction/intervention (e.g., teacher and/or teacher assistant time)
 - b) specialized equipment or assistive technology
 - c) assistance with basic care (e.g., toileting, grooming, catheterization)
 - d) frequent documentation of medical and/or behaviour status
 - e) direct support services at a cost to the system (e.g., behaviour specialist, orientation and mobility specialist).
- School authorities will use the severe disabilities categories/codes and related criteria outlined in Special Education Coding Criteria 2009/2010 and the Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2009/2010 to determine a student's disabling condition for severe disabilities identification purposes.
- Eligibility for severe disability funding is based on student registration information, as
 of the count date (September 30, 2009), that has been submitted to the Information
 Services Branch by October 3, 2009.
- All private and charter school authorities must send by October 3, 2009, the electronic Special Programs Branch Report that lists the students identified with severe disabilities.
- School authorities that identify students with severe disabilities after September 30
 can submit changes during the year to School Finance Branch on Form 09 AEI.2c
 Base Instruction Add, Modify, Delete Form for Enrolments.

Appeal of Severe Disabilities Funding

Charter, Private, and Designated Special Education Private Schools

In situations where charter or private schools, including designated special education private schools, disagree with the outcome of the monitoring process for individual student severe disabilities funding, an appeal of the decision(s) can be made to the Director of Special Education Branch using the process outlined below.

- · School staff review the monitoring decision to clarify the rationale for the appeal.
- School administrator writes the Director of Special Education Branch to request an appeal of the decision(s) of the monitoring process.
- The request for an appeal must be received by Special Education Branch within 10 working days of the school receiving written notification that the student was not approved for severe disabilities funding.
- The appeal information submitted to the Director of Special Education Branch must include:
 - student's name
 - severe disabilities code
 - Alberta Student Number (ASN).
- No new information can be added or considered during the appeal process.
- The originally submitted student documentation to support the appeal will be reviewed.
- · After review of the appeal documentation, the reviewer may recommend that:
 - the original decision stands or
 - funding is approved.
- The final decision regarding the appeal will be made by the Director of Special Education Branch and communicated in writing to the school authority and School Finance Branch.

School Jurisdictions

School jurisdictions may request an audit of severe disabilities profile funding by writing the Director of Special Education Branch by November 1, 2009. The Director of Special Education Branch will arrange the date and location of the audit.

- The audit will consider the eligibility and approval of severe disabilities funding based on a review of each student's file. Each file must contain all the following information:
 - assessment and diagnosis by qualified personnel (note: a diagnosis alone is not sufficient to qualify for funding)
 - documentation/assessment of the student's current level of functioning in the learning environment
 - a current IPP
 - identification of the levels of support and services being provided to the student.
 Students claimed for severe disabilities funding must receive three or more levels of support.

- The review team will recommend to the Director of Special Education Branch that the severe disabilities jurisdiction profile:
 - be increased
 - be decreased, or
 - remain the same.
- The results of the audit will be communicated in writing to the school jurisdiction and School Finance Branch.

Section 2 - Severe Disabilities Categories

Severe Cognitive Disability (Code 41)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe cognitive disability is one who:

- · has severe delays in all or most areas of development
- frequently has other disabilities including physical, sensory, medical and/or behavioural
- requires constant assistance and/or supervision in all areas of functioning including daily living skills and may require assistive technology
- should have a standardized assessment, which indicates functioning in the severe to profound range (standardized score of 30 ± 5 or less). Functional assessments by a qualified professional will also be considered in cases where the disabilities of the student preclude standard assessments
- has scores equivalent to the severe to profound levels on an adaptive behavioural scale (e.g., AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales-School: Second Edition (ABS-S:2);
 Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales; Scales of Independent Behaviour - Revised).

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student who meets the special education coding criteria for a severe cognitive disability?

- The functional level is less than one third of his/her chronological age on a standardized adaptive behaviour scale.
- The student may also:
 - be medically fragile
 - require assistive technology
 - have autistic-like, aberrant behaviours
 - have limited, if any, receptive or expressive language.
- The student's level of dependency requires mostly one-to-one and hand-over-hand assistance to perform tasks for ambulation or daily living and functioning.
- These students usually require extensive supports and services including one-to-one teacher assistant time, assistance with basic care, and additional therapeutic services.
- It is unlikely that the student will acquire basic numeracy and literacy skills.

What documentation is required to determine the student meets the special education coding criteria?

- The use of adaptive behaviour scales to obtain functional ability scores in the severe to profound range is required. These students are not usually assessable using the WISC-IV or Stanford-Binet V.
- Once an initial diagnosis has been given and the student has a chronic disability that
 has not changed significantly, documentation from the Regional Educational
 Consulting Service providers (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RÉSEAU) or other
 personnel specializing in the field may be sufficient for programming.

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements should be provided?

- Physical therapy reports, occupational therapy reports, speech-language therapy reports, as required.
- · Current relevant medical reports.

For additional information please refer to the case study on pages 9 to 19.

a se Study — Brandon

| Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s) | Brandon is a seventeen-year-old student in a Life Skills Program at Uphill High School. A recent AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales School: Second Edition and the Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised, were completed by S. Adams, Registered Psychologist, indicating that Brandon's intellectual functioning and adaptive functioning in January 2007 was less than one third of his chronological age. Brandon is ambulatory, non-verbal and requires ongoing assistance with his personal care. |
|---|--|
| Current supports/services in place | Brandon is in a special education class with nine students, a 1.0 FTE teacher and 3.0 FTE teacher assistants. Brandon requires one-on-one assistance for personal care, feeding and communication. In consultation with a speech-language pathologist, a Picture Communication Symbols (PCS) communication board has been developed. Teacher assistant uses the Mayer-Johnson PCS program on a classroom computer to modify instructional materials to Brandon's level. Brandon is receiving consultation support from an occupational therapist to assist with fine motor skills and feeding issues. His family is also accessing support from Family Support for Children with Disabilities and the I CAN Centre at the Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital. |
| Individualized Program Plan | Brandon's IPP was developed by the learning team, including his parents. Goals reflect his needs in communication, fine motor skills, personal care and life skills development. |



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010

other (specify)

assistive technology/devices

sound field amplification

| Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010 | 9 42 Se | PLEASE CHECK evere Cognitive evere Emotional/Beh evere Multiple evere Physical or Medi eafness indness | avioural |
|---|----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| School Authority ABC Authority | | | |
| School Uphill High School | | | |
| Student Name Brandon Smith | | | |
| Alberta Student Number (ASN) XXXXXXX | XXX | | |
| Birth date (yy/mm/dd) 92/07/15 | | Grade Ungraded | |
| Placement (describe) Special education of | lass | | |
| Tracement (describe) | | | |
| Supporting documentation from the ap- | propriate profess | ional(s) (please attac | ch copies): |
| Diagnosis | Year of Diagnosis | Professional Con | ducting Assessment |
| Intellectual and adaptive functioning: < 1/3 chronological age | January 2007 | S. Adams, Register | ed Psychologist |
| 2. How does the condition/disability impa Ambulatory, non-verbal and requires assi | act the student in t | the learning environ | ment? |
| 3. Services provided in accordance with School Year. Identify three or more of provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one in X specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/o X teacher assistant | the following sup | ports from (a) to (e) to 2009/2010: | |
| interpreter other (specify) | | (hours per day); | (staff:student ratio) |
| b) Specialized equipment and/or assis | stive devices prov | ided during 2009/20 | 10: |
| X communication devices | P. 10 | X OT/PT equipment | |

personal FM system

specialized software

| X assistance with personal hygie catheterization g-tube feeding administration of medication other | ne lifts and transfers respiratory therapy management of equipmen O&M training | mobility training (wheelchair walker) |
|--|---|---|
| Behaviour checklist Frequency | anecdotal notes behaviour hourly daily | plan other monthly |
| X Medical medical logs | medical emergency plan hourly X daily | X other Monitor personal care weekly monthly |
| special education consultant hearing consultant coccupational therapist O&M specialist | ATL consultant registered psychologist physical therapist school liaison worker | nursing services audiologist behaviour specialist school counsellor |
| | sessed needs of the student and i | includes specific educational |
| This IPP has been developed, in parent/guardian. | | rning team, including the |
| CLARATION levant assessment documentation (| a current IPP is included in the | |
| oports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a dent meets the 2009/2010 severe di | sabilities couling criteria. | |
| | sabilities couling criteria. | T. Jones |

Student Information

Student: SMITH, Brandon

Date of Birth: 07/15/1992 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 17

Parents: Mr. and Mrs. John Smith Date I.P.P. Created: September 10, 2009

Address: 1234–56 St Phone #: (780) 111-1111

Anywhere, AB T0T 0T0 Eligibility Code: 41

Year of School/Grade: Ungraded special education class

Background information: Classroom context

School: Uphill High School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Ms. Jones

Additional IPP Team Members: Mr. and Mrs. Smith, parents

Mark Chatty, speech-language pathologist

Jody Helper, teacher assistant

Dorothy Pickle, occupational therapist

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Brandon enjoys being around his peers and uses his communication board to exchange greetings. He is familiar with the school building and is able to go from his classroom to the cafeteria with minimal supervision. Brandon continues to need light physical prompts to initiate grooming routines but he responds positively to the assistance. During breaks and lunch, Brandon requires close supervision to ensure that he chews food thoroughly, as he tends to rush when eating. This year, the focus of programming is to prepare Brandon for entry into a supported living situation by mastering functional life skills with the greatest level of independence so that he has a positive transition into an adult setting two years from now.

Student Information

Student: SMITH Brandon

Date of Birth: 07/15/1992 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 17

Parents: Mr and Mrs John Smith Date I.P.P. Created: September 10, 2009

Address: 1234–56 St Phone #: (780) 111-1111

Anywhere, AB T0T 0T0 Eligibility Code: 41

Year of School/Grade: Ungraded special education class

Background information: Classroom context

School: Uphill High School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Ms Jones

Additional IPP Team Members: Mr. and Mrs. Smith, parents

Mark Chatty, speech-language pathologist

Jody Helper, teacher assistant

Dorothy Pickle, occupational therapist

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Brandon enjoys being around his peers and uses his communication board to exchange greetings. He is familiar with the school building and is able to go from his classroom to the cafeteria with minimal supervision. Brandon continues to need light physical prompts to initiate grooming routines but he responds positively to the assistance. During breaks and lunch, Brandon requires close supervision to ensure that he chews food thoroughly, as he tends to rush when eating. This year, the focus of programming is to prepare Brandon for entry into a supported living situation by mastering functional life skills with the greatest level of independence so that he has a positive transition into an adult setting two years from now.

Strengths

- · imitates well
- · likes to make people laugh
- · very social and likes to be around people at school, home and in the community
- · can consistently use 100 Mayer-Johnson graphics to make needs known to others

Areas of Need

- · continue to develop communication skills
- development of pre-vocational skills
- · develop food preparation skills
- · gain independence performing simple routines

| Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling | Coordinated Support Services |
|---|--|
| Brandon is in good health. Any medication he requires is administered at home and not during school hours. No current medical conditions that impact schooling | Jody Helper, teacher assistant, is assigned to work with Brandon and two other students 7 hours/day. Mark Chatty, speech-language pathologist, will make a visit at the end of each term to modify Brandon's augmentative communication board and will also support the introduction and trial with four simple voice output communication aids (VOCA). Dorothy Pickle, occupational therapist, will observe Brandon in the classroom and during lunchtime and demonstrate basic care intervention techniques to Jody Helper, at the beginning of the first, third and fourth terms. |

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

| Date | Test | Results |
|--------------|--|--|
| January 2007 | AAMR Adaptive Behaviour Scales: Second Edition Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised | adaptive behaviour rating and cognitive ability both scored significantly below his chronological age |
| | | |

| - | urrent Level of Performance and chievement | Year-end Summary |
|----|---|--|
| | classroom functional communication assessment can consistently use 100 graphic symbols to express basic needs consistently uses "yes" and "no" symbols during choice making activities observation checklist of personal care skills able to consistently maintain two hand grasp on a plastic mug or glass requires hand-over-hand to use a fork, knife and spoon during lunch time with light physical prompting can assist in completing grooming and washroom routines | June |
| Gr | ade Level of Achievement Reporting | Information |
| | Adapted programming (graded curriculum) | |
| | Modified programming (not graded curricul | um) |
| | If student is on a modified program, indicate relative to each goal category: | e category of each goal and achievement level |
| | skills) | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| | Goals achieved: ☐ all ☐ most | some none not applicable |
| | outcomes in the programs of study in G | |
| | Goals achieved: all most | □ some □ none □ not applicable |
| | life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the home, school and community) | student in developing independence in the |
| | Goals achieved: all most | □ some □ none □ not applicable |

Long-term Goal: Brandon will be able to communicate choices.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|---|-----------------|
| By the end of November, Brandon will make choices in menu planning by pointing to an item in each food group with no errors or duplications/five trials per week. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | November 30 |
| By February, Brandon will select the program he wants from a list of 10 icons on the computer desktop, with 80% accuracy/four trials per week. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | February 1 |
| By mid May, Brandon will choose two of five students he wants to work with on four of five occasions/four trials per week. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | May 15 |
| By the end of June, Brandon will choose four of five students he wants to work with on four of five occasions/four trials per week. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | June 30 |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- Keep Mayer-Johnson graphics consistent for names of school staff, family members, and labelling items/objects.
- . Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will demonstrate a working knowledge of signage commonly found in the community.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|---|------------------------|
| By October 30, when presented with a series of eight signs that direct (e.g., push, keep left), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on five consecutive days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | October 30 |
| By December 15, when presented with a series of 20 warning and safety signs (e.g., emergency exit, poison, no smoking), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on four out of five days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | December 15 |
| By the end of February, Brandon will be able to match pictorial representations of the 28 signs to signs in the school building, with 20 matches on four consecutive days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | February 28 |
| By April 30, when presented with a series of 20 community signs (e.g., mail, restroom, use crosswalk), Brandon will point to the correct sign when verbally requested on five consecutive days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | April 30 |
| By June 24, Brandon will demonstrate an understanding of directional safety and community signs by complying with the intent of the signs he encounters on any given day | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist for data collection | June 24 |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

. Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will gain independence in simple routines.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| By October 30, Brandon will get his own apron and join his cooking group, at each occasion, without prompting. | teacher observation checklist | October 30 | | |
| By January 30, Brandon will look at his picture schedule and point to what comes next, eight times out of ten trials per day. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist | January 30 | | |
| By April, Brandon will sequence 10 pictures illustrating preparation for school, nine times out of ten on three consecutive days. | parent observation teacher assistant observation checklist | March 31 | | |
| By June 30, Brandon will complete his work routine with a maximum of four verbal cues per routine (total compliance/five trials per week) | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklists | June 30 | | |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Requires hand-over-hand assistance to complete personal care routines.
- Use light, physical prompting to initiate tasks in a small group.
- . Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Long-term Goal: Brandon will demonstrate an understanding of food safety and safe handling of kitchen utensils.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|---|--|-----------------|
| By October 30, when given a verbal prompt, Brandon will wash his hands before handling food without resistance each and every time | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist | October 30 |
| By January 30, Brandon will demonstrate hygienic washing of pots and pans, using a rinse cycle and the loading of a dishwasher completing the steps using only his communication board, 12 of 15 times. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist | January 30 |
| By April, Brandon will sequence 10 pictures illustrating preparation for school, nine times out of ten on three consecutive days. | parent observation teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist | April 1 |
| By June 30, Brandon will complete his work routine with a maximum of four verbal cues per routine (total compliance/five trials per week). | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist | June 30 |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Requires hand-over-hand assistance to complete personal care routines.
- · Use light, physical prompting to initiate tasks in a small group.
- Keep Mayer-Johnson graphics consistent for names of school staff, family members, and labelling items/objects.
- Use Boardmaker to adapt all curriculum areas and to create work sheets and tests.

Planning for Transition

Mr. & Mrs. Smith are exploring options for community-based living arrangements for Brandon upon completion of high school. Due to the degree of independence required by many of the agencies, the focus of both home and school interventions will be on providing Brandon with the opportunity to master as many basic care routines and skills as possible over the next two years. Both school staff and parents will ensure that all assessments and reports are updated and submitted to the appropriate agencies so that Brandon receives necessary benefits upon completing high school. Brandon uses a static display communication board. To increase his opportunities to communicate with peers, he should be introduced to a simple voice output communication aid that is durable and portable, using the same Mayer-Johnson symbols and board arrangement. This should support him in becoming more confident and independent when initiating contact with friends and peers.

Additional Information

Arrange for trials of four simple VOCAs from the I CAN Centre, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital.

Signatures

I understand and agree with the information contained in this Individualized Program Plan.

Mr. & Mrs. Smith September 12, 2009

Parents Date

Ms. Jones September 12, 2009

IPP Coordinator/Teacher Date

My. I.M. Busy September 12, 2009

Principal Date

Severe Emotional/Behavioural Disability (Code 42)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe emotional/behavioural disorder is one who:

- displays chronic, extreme and pervasive behaviours, which require close and
 constant adult supervision, high levels of structure, and other intensive support
 services in order to function in an educational setting. The behaviours significantly
 interfere with both the learning and safety of the student and other students. For
 example, the student could be dangerously aggressive and destructive (to self
 and/or others), violent and/or extremely compulsive; and
- has a diagnosis including conduct disorder, schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, obsessive/compulsive disorders, or severe chronic clinical depression; and may display self-stimulation or self-injurious behaviour. In the most extreme and pervasive instances, severe oppositional defiant disorder may qualify.

A clinical diagnosis within the last 2 years of a severe emotional/behavioural disorder by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist or a developmental pediatrician is required, in addition to extensive documentation by school authorities on the nature, frequency and severity of the disorder. The effects of the disability on the student's functioning in an education setting should be described. An ongoing treatment plan/behaviour plan should be available and efforts should be made to ensure that the student has access to appropriate mental health and therapeutic services.

A clinical diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disorder is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Some diagnoses with behavioural components that are not sufficient to qualify are: attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), attention deficit disorder (ADD).

Note: Students diagnosed with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD), in the most severe cases, should be reported under Code 44 rather than Code 42.

Questions and Answers

What documentation is required to determine students meet the severe emotional/behavioural disability coding criteria?

- Documentation in the student's file should include the following type of information:
 - a behaviour assessment report that includes a specific clinical diagnosis
 - anecdotal notes that describe the nature, frequency and severity of the aberrant behaviour and the impact on learning
 - behaviour plan.
- The clinical diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disability is made by a psychiatrist or registered psychologist.
- The diagnosis of a severe emotional/behavioural disability is summative and involves a range of measures and indicators, including standardized assessments, clinical and medical history, anecdotal records, observation, and interviews with students, parents and school staff.

What type of information may be included in a behaviour assessment report?

- The type of information typically found in a behaviour assessment report includes:
 - assessment/diagnostic information that clarifies and documents history which may have precipitated the current behaviours
 - recent medical history noting any medication that modifies the student's behaviour and further assessments/follow-up appointments
 - interviews/surveys (e.g., Behaviour Assessment System for Children, Second Edition (BASC-2) or Conners Rating Scales-Revised, filled out by parents, teacher(s), and student, if appropriate), that outline the concerns with the student's behaviour
 - documentation as to the nature, frequency and severity of the specific behaviour and steps taken so far to mitigate it
 - observations and assessment results from the psychiatrist/registered psychologist making the clinical diagnosis.

How much detail does Alberta Education require in the anecdotal documentation for students with severe emotional/behavioural disabilities? What is required?

- Alberta Education needs to know the specific behaviours the student exhibits that are extreme, pervasive and impact the educational setting. For example, what does a typical week look like?
- To document this, you could prepare a chart (see example on p. 24) that shows
 what the student's actual behaviours are and the frequency of these behaviours over
 a period of time.
- The information gathered from checklists and anecdotal notes should assist in programming for each student and serve a functional purpose.
- The information should be summarized in point form.
- You may want to consider adding time of day to the chart in order to see if the student functions better in the morning or afternoon. This will help to determine what preventative strategies you need to put into place or what changes to routines or direct teaching of social or adaptive skills would benefit the student.

How can I demonstrate that the student's behaviour is severe?

- A concise summary of anecdotal notes and checklists that describe the nature, frequency and severity of the student's behaviour at the time she or he was identified by the school authority, in addition to the behaviour assessment report,
- Documentation that describes the nature, frequency and intensity of the interventions that are needed to maintain appropriate student behaviour.
- Summary of behaviours and results of school interventions required to maintain or improve appropriate behaviours.

The student's behaviour has improved significantly with all the programming supports that are in place. Will the student still meet criteria?

The student may continue to meet the criteria, provided that appropriate behaviours
are maintained only because of the level and degree of supports and programming
aligned with an appropriate diagnosis. This information should be recorded in the
IPP.

Should the teacher/parent communication book and/or the teacher's daily checklist be included in the package for a student?

 Communication books and daily checklists are useful for sharing information with parents and tracking behavioural incidents, but they do not usually provide sufficient descriptive information.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 23 to 33.

Case Study — Harley

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)

- Harley is a fifteen-year-old boy in Grade 10 at Dry Creek High School.
- Harley currently resides in a foster home near the school.
- Harley was diagnosed as having bipolar disorder by Dr. Bunton in July 2008.
- Harley is currently under the care of Dr. Panwhar, psychiatrist, who has prescribed medication to help control Harley's episodes.
- Some of the features of Harley's behaviours that impact his learning at school include:
 - truancy
 - failure to complete school assignments
 - anxiety attacks
 - depression
 - mood swings, manic episodes (e.g., grandiose talk, agitation, withdrawal)
 - extreme withdrawal, no peer relations, unresponsive, constant crying
 - self-injurious behaviour.

Current supports/services in place

- Harley is in a regular Grade 10 program. He has a teacher assistant for three hours each day for one-onone support.
- He receives one-on-one counsellor support for one half-hour each day from the school counsellor, who also is trained in management of emotional and behavioural disorders.
- A special education consultant works with the teachers and educational assistant on a monthly basis to review and revise programming strategies.
- The school counsellor has regular contact with Harley's psychiatrist, who also is part of Harley's support team.
- Harley meets with his psychiatrist monthly.
- Harley also has regular meetings with the social worker in charge of his case.

- Harley's IPP was developed by the learning team, in consultation with his legal guardian, psychiatrist, special education consultant and social worker.
- Harley's overall program focuses on helping him cope with social, emotional and academic needs.
- The major behaviour management goals identified from the behaviour chart completed during September 2009 are:
 - teaching self-regulation strategies
 - increasing organizational skills and reducing offtask behaviours
 - learning coping skills for anxiety attacks.

Sample Behaviour Chart

| er 200 | nber | 2009 | | | |
|--------|------|-------|-----|-------|--------------------|
| 5 16 | 15 | 16 17 | 18 | 19 | Frequency Tally |
| | x | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 8/10 |
| | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7/10 |
| 1 | | 11 | 1 | 1 | 8/10 |
| 1 | | 11 | 1 | 1 | 9/10 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 10/10 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 10/10 |
| | _ | + | 1 1 | · · · | · · · · · |

The tallies of this chart inform the goals of Harley's IPP. For example, the high frequency of Harley's feelings of anxiety suggest that reduction in this area is a priority goal. From consultation with the counsellor, it became clear that Harley's anxiety is tied to working with peers. Therefore, it was deemed appropriate to monitor his frequency of attendance and consistency in taking his medications, which help to stabilize his mood. Further, more specific checklists would be developed to monitor each of the objectives related to Harley's long term goals.

- Harley's IPP was developed by the learning team, in consultation with his legal guardian, psychiatrist, special education consultant and social worker.
- Harley's overall program focuses on helping him cope with social, emotional and academic needs.
- The major behaviour management goals identified from the behaviour chart completed during September 2009 are:
 - teaching self-regulation strategies
 - increasing organizational skills and reducing offtask behaviours
 - learning coping skills for anxiety attacks.

Sample Behaviour Chart

| NAME: Harley | y | | | | | | MONTH: September 2009 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------------------|--|--|
| Date: | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | Frequency Tally | | |
| Attended school (weekend W attended ✓ did not attend X) | 4 | 4 | х | 1 | 1 | | | х | 1 | ~ | 1 | 4 | 8/10 | | |
| Met with counsellor (Yes ✓) | 4 | 1 | | 1 | 4 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7/10 | | |
| Took medication (Yes ✓) | 4 | 1 | | 1 | 4 | | | | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 8/10 | | |
| Attended work experience (Yes 🗸) | 1 | 1 | 1 | ~ | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9/10 | | |
| Felt anxious/restless/ am | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | NE | | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10/10 | | |
| agitated (Yes ✓) pm | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | | | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | 10/10 | | |

The tallies of this chart inform the goals of Harley's IPP. For example, the high frequency of Harley's feelings of anxiety suggest that reduction in this area is a priority goal. From consultation with the counsellor, it became clear that Harley's anxiety is tied to working with peers. Therefore, it was deemed appropriate to monitor his frequency of attendance and consistency in taking his medications, which help to stabilize his mood. Further, more specific checklists would be developed to monitor each of the objectives related to Harley's long term goals.



| Si | Student Monitoring Form | X 42 Se | PLEASE CHECK evere Cognitive evere Emotional/Bel evere Multiple evere Physical or Med | |
|-----|--|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | evere Disabilities Funding 009/2010 | | eafness indness | |
| Scl | hool Authority ABC Authority | | | |
| | hool Day Creek High School | * | | |
| | Ident Name Harley | | | |
| | perta Student Number (ASN) XXXXXXX | XX | | |
| Bir | th date (yy/mm/dd) 94/03/17 | | Grade 10 | |
| Pla | cement (describe) Regular Grade 10 pro | ogram | | |
| 1. | Supporting documentation from the app | ropriate professi | onal(s) (please atta | ch copies): |
| | Diagnosis | Year of Diagnosis | Professional Cor | nducting Assessment |
| | Bipolar disorder | July 2008 | Dr. Bunton | |
| 2. | Additional assessment How does the condition/disability impact Mood swings, extreme withdrawal, no peer | t the student in t | he learning environ | ment? |
| 3. | Services provided in accordance with th School Year. Identify three or more of the provided to the student: a) Frequent specialized one-on-one into | ne following supp | ports from (a) to (e) | that are being |
| | specialized setting with teacher | | (hours per day); | (staff:student ratio) |
| | small group work with teacher and/or | teacher assistant | (hours per day) | (staff:student ratio) |
| | X teacher assistant interpreter | | 3 (hours per day); | 1:1 (staff:student ratio) |
| | other (specify) | | (hours per day); | (staff:student ratio) |
| | b) Specialized equipment and/or assi | stive devices pro | ovided during 2009/ | |
| | assistive technology/devices | | specialized furnit | |
| | sound field amplification | | personal FM syst | |
| | X other (specify) Blackberry | | X specialized softw | are |

| catheterization regular regula | spiratory therapy oxygen anagement of equipment OT/P &M training mobil walke | , |
|--|--|---|
| | | other |
| | dical emergency plan X other ourly X daily week | Monitor personal care |
| X special education consultant A A hearing consultant re occupational therapist ph O&M specialist so | eech therapist vision L consultant nursi gistered psychologist audic sysical therapist beha | n consultant ng services ologist viour specialist ol counsellor ½ hr/day; 1 |
| Individualized Program Plan (IPP) is in pla X The current IPP identifies the assessed in programming to address those needs. | | ecific educational |
| This IPP has been developed, implement parent/guardian. | ted and signed by the learning team | , including the |
| CLARATION evant assessment documentation to supports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a currendent meets the 2009/2010 severe disabilities | t IPP is included in the student's f | |
| September 18, 2009 | 8. Moore | |
| | | |

Student Information

Student: JONES, Harley Edward

Date of Birth: 03/17/1994 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 15

Parents: Susan and Henry Smith Date I.P.P. Created: September 10, 2009

Address: R.R.3 Phone #: (403) 111-1111

Rural Alberta, AB TOT 0T0 Eligibility Code: 42

Year of School/Grade: Grade 10

Background information: Classroom context

School: Dry Creek High School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher:

Additional IPP Team Members: Harley Jones, student

Susan and Henry Smith, guardians
Dr. Jabir Panwhar, psychiatrist
Jane Glass, school counsellor
Mike Cardinal, teacher assistant

Judy Cook, special education consultant

Brenda Lively, vice-principal

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Harley continues to adjust to living with the diagnosis of bipolar disorder. It is critical that all teachers maintain regular and consistent communication with his guardians, either by telephone or e-mail. General strategies for supporting Harley's social interactions with staff and peers have been distributed and should be reviewed by staff on a regular basis. Harley has begun to experience more intense fine motor tremors, a side effect of the medication. For this reason, he will continue Read and Write Gold to assist with completing written activities and his Blackberry for tracking assignments and recording mood chart information.

| Strengths | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| interested in theatre set des enjoys watching sports | ign | | |
| | | | |
| Areas of Need | | | |
| continue to develop self-reg | ulation strategies | | |
| improving social interactions | s with peers and tea | achers | |
| Medical Conditions that Schooling | mpact | Coordinated | Support Services |
| Harley is on medication for bipo is to be taken before lunch under of the teacher assistant. The tind are to be recorded on a daily bath psychiatrist at the end of each make medication should be recorded immediately with take medication socur. Information should be recorded in the side effects and the side effects from the medication contact Harley's guardians immediately in the side effects from the medication contact Harley's guardians immediately in the side effects from the medication contact Harley's guardians immediately in the side effects from the medication contact Harley's guardians immediately in the side effects from the medical conditions are simplest to the side effects from the medical conditions are simplest to the side effects from the medical conditions are simplest to the side effects from the medical conditions are side effects. | er the supervision ne and dosages is and sent to his nonth. Refusals to ded and his when these eets explaining ects of medication eachers and serve possible are requested to ediately. | Harley in un complete he teacher ass special edu teachers with adapt instruction. Harley mee quarterly mee | sellor support, 30 min/day, to assist inderstanding his diagnosis and to is behaviour chart sistant support 3 hours/day scation consultant to assist ith developing programming and actional strategies ets monthly with his psychiatrist seetings with his social worker uried at this time |
| Assessment Data (Specia | | ent Results) | 1 |
| Date | Test | | Results |
| November 2007 | psycho-educa assessment | ational | Harley was unable to complete the assessment. |
| June 2009 | | psycho- and functional assessments | School counsellor will consult with Dr. Panwhar to determine the optimal time for these assessments to take place. |
| | | | |

| Current Level of Performance and Achievement | Year-end Summary |
|---|---|
| September | June |
| Note: Referral has been made for achievement testing to support development of academic IPP goals/objectives. | |
| | |
| Grade Level of Achievement Reporting | Information |
| ☐ Adapted programming (graded curriculum) | |
| ☐ Modified programming (not graded curricul | |
| | e category of each goal and achievement level |
| foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| Goals achieved: all most | □ some □ none □ not applicable |
| academic readiness skills (e.g., readiness in the programs of study in G | ness skills to prepare student for learning rade 1 and subsequent grade levels) |
| Goals achieved: all most | ☐ some ☐ none ☐ not applicable |
| life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the home, school and community) | student in developing independence in the |
| Goals achieved: all most | □ some □ none □ not applicable |

Long-term Goal: Harley will independently identify situations that make him anxious and demonstrate strategies to reduce his anxiety.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|---|-----------------|
| When asked by the counsellor, Harley will be able to verbally describe two types of classroom situations that make him anxious on four of five days and record this information on his behaviour chart. | counsellor, teachers and teacher assistant will record and chart incidents to identify patterns related to the onset of feelings of anxiety. | |
| By December 20, Harley will be able to describe three actions he can take to reduce his anxiety in classroom situations and successfully demonstrate these actions in four instances, for five consecutive days. | teacher, teacher assistant and counsellor observation daily checklist | |
| By February 28, Harley will be able to respond in a positive manner to potentially anxiety-producing situations for ten consecutive days. | Teacher, teacher assistant and counsellor observations daily checklist | |
| When asked by the counsellor, Harley will independently complete his mood chart with 100% accuracy at the end of each morning. | counsellor observation | |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

- · Reduced course load and attend classes only in the morning.
- Afternoon work experience with local theatre company in the carpentry department.
- Allow additional time for tests/examinations.
- Allow student to write in a small group setting, with teacher assistant supervision, to reduce performance anxiety.
- · Modify classroom and homework assignments according to fluctuations in his emotional status.
- Use Excel spreadsheet to complete daily mood chart record.

Long-term Goal: Harley will work cooperatively with peers in the classroom.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|--|-----------------|
| By October, Harley will work cooperatively with a teacher-selected partner and complete assigned tasks four out of six periods, within the time allocated and with teacher assistant supervision, in each class. | teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments | |
| By February 28, when given a cooperative small-group assignment (teacher-selected peers), Harley will work cooperatively and complete assigned tasks 85% of the time with teacher assistant supervision. | teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments | |
| By May, when given the free choice of working with a partner, Harley will work cooperatively and complete assigned tasks three times out of four. * teacher/teacher assistant observations and number of completed assignments | teacher/teacher assistant observations of process and number of completed assignments | |

- · Afternoon work experience with local theatre company in the carpentry department.
- · Extra set of text books at home.
- Permit and encourage use of the Read and Write Gold to support note taking and assignment completion.
- · Use Blackberry for recording assignments and scheduling timelines for completing projects.

Planning for Transition

- · Course selection and timetabling for second semester will be finalized in November.
- Arrangements will be made for a home-bound teacher to provide services either at home or in the hospital, should Harley be unable to attend school for periods of time this year.
- The counsellor will arrange for three case conferences to be held this school year involving the learning team and Harley's psychiatrist and social worker.
- The counsellor will review the purpose and importance of the behaviour chart with teachers and the teacher assistant.
- The counsellor and Harley will determine if and when they will do a short presentation to his peers and teachers regarding the impact of his illness on his learning and social interactions.

| Additional Information | |
|--|---|
| | |
| Signatures | |
| | |
| I understand and agree with the information | n contained in this Individualized Program Plan |
| | |
| Mr. & Mrs. Smith | n contained in this Individualized Program Plan September 12, 2009 Date |
| Mr. & Mrs. Smith Parents | September 12, 2009 |
| Mr. & Mrs. Smith Parents IPP Coordinator/Teacher | September 12, 2009 Date |

Summary of Behaviour Anecdotal Notes—September 28, 2009

Student: Harley Edward Jones School: Dry Creek High School Grade: 10 Teacher: Jane Glass, Counsellor

Priority presenting behaviour(s):

What are the behaviours?

Describe what they look like in the educational environment. During the month of September, Harley exhibited several behaviours at school which caused concern for staff. These included being truant from school, failing to complete classroom assignments and homework, and rapid changes in mood. The most significant area of concern is the increase in the number and frequency of anxiety attacks Harley has had since school began earlier this month. Harley describes he has racing thoughts during his anxiety attacks. His teachers have observed that during his anxiety attacks, he talks excessively and that it is very difficult to follow his conversation because he moves so rapidly between topics he appears incoherent. He becomes so agitated that he cries in front of his classmates and then physically lashes out at them when they comment about his show of emotion. These aspects of his behaviour are of most concern because of the impact they have on his peer interactions and ability to participate in class work.

Frequency of priority behaviour(s):

Give the number of intense attacks.

Describe chronic episodes. Since September 2, Harley has reported that he has experienced approximately 20 intense anxiety attacks while at school. His behaviour chart indicates that he is in an almost constant state of anxiety. A review of his behaviour chart shows that he is feeling anxious, restless or agitated for almost the entire school day. This information was collected by Harley and collated with the assistance of the school counsellor. From September 4 to 15, he reported a total of 20 anxiety attacks, which were evenly distributed between morning and afternoon.

Impact of the priority behaviour(s):

What happens as a direct result of these behaviours?

During five of the anxiety attacks, which occurred during two morning breaks, two morning classes and one afternoon class, Harley got into physical fights with peers. These incidents resulted in two 2-day suspensions and one 5-day suspension for fighting for both Harley and the other students. During the third incident, Harley sustained a severe blow to his head and was taken by ambulance to the local emergency room. After each of these incidents, Harley shared that he was "worthless" and "would not survive to finish school." He is often reported to appear sad and no longer wants to be around other students.

Plan and supports to address the priority behaviour(s):

Who is involved?

What are the supports and how do they relate to a plan?

School staff, parents, and Harley met to develop a plan to support him at school. It was decided that he would meet with the school counsellor every day for 30 minutes. As well, to build on his interest in theatrical set design, a work experience placement at the local theatre has been arranged. A teacher assistant will accompany him to his placement during the afternoons. The number of courses he will take each semester has been altered to accommodate this change. This plan will be reviewed in mid-October to determine if changes or alternations are required to his IPP.

Severe Multiple Disability (Code 43)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with multiple disabilities is one who:

- has two or more non-associated moderate to severe cognitive and/or physical disabilities which, in combination, result in the student functioning at a severe to profound level; and
- · requires significant special programming, resources and/or therapeutic services.

Students with a severe disability and another associated disability should be identified under the category of the primary severe disability. For example:

- A student with a severe cognitive disability and another associated disability is not designated under this category, but is designated under severe cognitive disability.
- A student with a severe emotional/behavioural disability and another associated disability is not designated under this category, but is designated under severe emotional/behavioural disability.

The following mild or moderate disabilities cannot be used in combination with other disabilities to qualify under Code 43:

- attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) attention deficit disorder (ADD)
- emotional/behavioural disabilities
- learning disability (LD)
- · speech and language-related delays.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student who meets the special education coding criteria for severe multiple disability?

A student with a severe multiple disability may exhibit two or more of the following:

- moderate cognitive disability (standardized score of $30 50 \pm 5$)
- severe cognitive disability (standardized score of 30 + 5 or less)
- bilateral hearing loss in the moderate to severe range; average of 56 70 decibels over 500 – 4000 Hz in the better ear reported by the appropriate specialist
- visual impairment that is moderate to severe (20/100 in the better eye), but not legally blind, degeneration prognosis reported by the appropriate specialist
- moderate to severe autistic-like behaviour
- moderate to severe physical disability or medical condition that interferes with learning.

NOTE: Low cognitive ability in the mild/moderate cognitive disability ranges combined with severe receptive/expressive language deficits does not qualify.

What documentation is required to meet the coding criteria?

- Diagnoses by professionals for each of the two or more non-associated disabling conditions. This may include reports from registered psychologists, audiologists, ophthalmologists and medical professionals.
- Current documentation should be in the student's file.
- If a student has two or more non-associated disabilities that have not changed significantly, a current functional assessment from Regional Educational Consulting Service teams (REACH, CASE, Edmonton Regional Educational Consulting Services, RÉSEAU), Student Health Partnership, school authority specialists or other contracted consulting agencies may be sufficient.
- A diagnosis of each of the disabling conditions is required but not necessarily sufficient to qualify. Eligibility depends on the student's current level of functioning within the learning environment.

What other supporting documentation from a school, relevant to the student's disabling conditions and programming requirements, may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- physical therapy, occupational therapy, speech-language therapy reports
- · vision and/or hearing consultant reports
- · current and relevant medical reports
- additional documentation, including anecdotal information, reflecting the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information please refer to the case study on pages 36 to 45.

Case Study — Amina

· Amina is an eight-year-old student currently in Grade 3 Background at Caldwell School. information. Dr. Brown diagnosed Amina in 2005 as having description of severe Kabuki make-up syndrome and moderate cognitive disabling condition(s) delay. In November 2008, she was diagnosed with a moderate hearing loss by R. Dean, audiologist. She presents with generalized low muscle tone. decreased physical strength, delays in gross and fine motor development, poor social skills and a moderate bilateral hearing loss. The occupational therapist and physical therapist both report Amina's need for assistance with toileting. dressing, and feeding and for constant supervision, as she has a danger of falling, especially on the stairs. Amina currently receives individual support 4 hours a Current day from a part-time teacher assistant and 30 minutes supports/services in a day in a small group from a teacher assistant who is place assigned to the classroom. · Amina receives small group instruction for mathematics and pro-social skills. She also receives ongoing individual assistance to maintain attention to task and to complete all tasks in the classroom environment. Amina requires assistance with dressing and in the washroom. She receives stand-by assistance for all transitions and walking the stairs. Amina receives individual assistance at lunch and recess times. Amina requires an augmentative/alternative communication system and has been referred for assessment at the I Can Centre, Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital. Amina's IPP was developed in consultation with her **Individualized Program** learning team, including her parents, the speech-Plan language pathologist, occupational therapist, physical therapist, behaviour specialist and hearing consultant. • The goals of Amina's education program address her needs, result from the combination of disabling conditions, and are reflected in the classroom accommodations and level of supervision.



Student Monitoring Form

| | PLEASE CHECK CODE |
|------|--|
| 41 | Severe Cognitive |
| 42 | Severe Emotional/Behavioura! |
| × 43 | Severe Multiple |
| 44 | Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism |
| 45 | Deafness |
| 46 | Blindness |

| choc | ABC Authority | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| choc | Caldwell School | | | | | |
| ude | nt Name Amina | | | | | |
| bert | a Student Number (ASN) XXXXX | XXXX | | | | |
| rth (| date (yy/mm/dd) 2001/08/30 | | Grad | de 3 | | |
| ace | ment (describe) Regular Grade 3 | classroom | | | | |
| Sı | pporting documentation from the | appropriate professi | onal(s | s) (please atta | ch cop | ies): |
| | Diagnosis | Year of Diagnosis | Pro | ofessional Cor | nductin | ng Assessment |
| K | abuki make-up syndrome | December 2005 | Dr. E | Brown | | |
| N | Inderate cognitive disability | December 2006 | Dr. E | Brown | | |
| N | Ioderate bilateral hearing loss | November 2008 | R.D | ean (Audiologis | st) | |
| A | ow does the condition/disability im nina has generalized low muscle ton | e, delays in gross and | fine m | notor developm | ent, po | or social skills |
| Ar cla | | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he uch as PE, as she is a | fine mer bala | notor developm ance, which lim | ent, po | participation in |
| Ar class | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Sassroom and specialized activities, susistance with dressing and personal ervices provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more povided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he uch as PE, as she is a hygiene. The Funding Manual of the following supp | fine mer bala t dang | notor developmence, which limber of falling. Sechool Author from (a) to (e) | its her in the requerities 2 that are | participation in uires individual 009-2010 e being |
| Ar ar class See See pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Season and specialized activities, susistance with dressing and personal ervices provided in accordance with thool Year. Identify three or more povided to the student: | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he ach as PE, as she is a hygiene. The funding Manual of the following supplements of the following supplements. | fine mer bala t dang | notor developmence, which limber of falling. Sechool Authorfrom (a) to (e) | its her in the requerities 2 that are | participation in uires individual 1009-2010 e being (staff.student ratio |
| Ar ar cla as Se Sc pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Sassroom and specialized activities, susistance with dressing and personal ervices provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more covided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he ach as PE, as she is a hygiene. The funding Manual of the following supplements of the following supplements. | fine mer bala t dang | school Author from (a) to (e) (hours per day): | rities 2 that ar | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |
| Se Se pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Substance with dressing and personal expires provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more covided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher as small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter. | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he ach as PE, as she is a hygiene. The funding Manual of the following supplements of the following supplements. | fine mer balat dang | school Author from (a) to (e) (hours per day); (hours per day) | rities 2 that ar | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |
| Se Se pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Substance with dressing and personal envices provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more covided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher as small group work with teacher and teacher assistant. | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he ach as PE, as she is a hygiene. The funding Manual of the following supplements of the following supplements. | fine mer balat dang | notor developmence, which limber of falling. S School Authority from (a) to (e) ring 2009/2010 (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); | rities 2 that ar | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |
| Air architecture as See See pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Substance with dressing and personal expires provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more covided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher as small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter. | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he can be seen as she is a chygiene. The Funding Manual of the following support intervention provided and or teacher assistant. | fine mer balat dang | notor developmence, which limber of falling. S School Authority From (a) to (e) From 2009/2010 (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); | rities 2 that are | participation in uires individual |
| Air architecture as See See pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Season and specialized activities, susistance with dressing and personal ervices provided in accordance with shool Year. Identify three or more solvided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher as small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or interpretations in the specialized of the student and/or interpretations. | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he can be seen as she is a chygiene. The Funding Manual of the following support intervention provided and or teacher assistant. | al for soorts f | notor developmence, which limber of falling. S School Authority from (a) to (e) ring 2009/2010 (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); | rities 2 that are: 1:4 1:1 | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |
| Air architecture as See See pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Substance with dressing and personal exvices provided in accordance with chool Year. Identify three or more covided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or communication devices | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he can be seen as she is a chygiene. The Funding Manual of the following support intervention provided and or teacher assistant. | fine mer balat dang al for soorts | notor developmence, which limber of falling. S School Authority From (a) to (e) From (a) | rities 2 that are: 1:4 1:1 | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |
| Air architecture as See See pr a) | nina has generalized low muscle toned a mild to moderate hearing loss. Season and specialized activities, susistance with dressing and personal ervices provided in accordance with shool Year. Identify three or more solvided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one specialized setting with teacher as small group work with teacher and teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or interpretations in the specialized of the student and/or interpretations. | e, delays in gross and the frequently loses he ach as PE, as she is a hygiene. In the Funding Manual of the following supple intervention provided door teacher assistant assistive devices pro | ovided | notor developmence, which limber of falling. S School Authority from (a) to (e) ring 2009/2010 (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); (hours per day); | rities 2 that ar 1:4 1:1 | participation in uires individual 2009-2010 e being (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio (staff:student ratio |

| assistance with personal hygiene catheterization | X respiratory therapy | X feeding program oxygen administration |
|--|--|---|
| g-tube feeding | x management of equipmer | |
| administration of medication | O&M training | mobility training (wheelchai |
| other | ehavioural and/or medical st | walker |
| | anecdotal notes behavior | |
| Frequency → | hourly daily | weekly monthly |
| X Medical X medical logs | X medical emergency plan | other |
| Frequency ⇒ | hourly X daily | weekly monthly |
| C occupational therapist O&M specialist other | physical therapist school liaison worker | behaviour specialist school counsellor |
| dividualized Program Plan (IPP) is | in place for 2009/2010: | |
| The current IPP identifies the asso programming to address those ne | | d includes specific educational |
| This IPP has been developed, imparent/guardian. | plemented and signed by the le | earning team, including the |
| ARATION | | |
| ant assessment documentation to | current IPP is included in the | |
| nt meets the 2009/2010 severe dis | | |
| nt meets the 2009/2010 severe dis | | M. Thompson |

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: KHAN, Amina

Date of Birth: 08/30/2001 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 8

Parents: Amy and John Khan Date I.P.P. Created: September 15, 2009

Address: 567-10 Avenue Phone #: (780) 111-1111

Big City, AB T9T 9T9 Eligibility Code: 43

Year of School/Grade: 3

Background information: Classroom context

School: Caldwell School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Donna Major Additional IPP Team Members: Amy and John Khan, parents

Angel Young, Special education consultant/IPP coordinator

Pat White/John Gregory, teacher assistants

Michael Hall, speech-language pathologist/audiologist

Mary Smart, occupational therapist

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Amina is a happy individual who is shy around peers. She has had limited opportunity to interact with age peers, preferring to spend time in the company of older students and adults. Her parents are concerned and have agreed to work with the learning team to have Amina become involved with age peers outside of school through swimming and horse back riding lessons. Mr. and Mrs. Khan found the communication book useful last year and would like that method of information sharing to continue this year. Mary Smart, occupational therapist, is concerned about Amina's difficulty maintaining her balance and has referred her to the seating clinic for assessment and input regarding the purchase of a power scooter that could be used on the playground, school field trips and in the community.

Strengths

- desire to please
- · cooperates with teacher assistant to complete transfers during personal care routines
- · enjoys using the computer
- Areas of Need
- communication
- social skills
- · mathematics concept and skill development

| Coordinated Support Services |
|--|
| teacher assistant support 4.5 hours/day RECS: hearing consultant, occupational therapist, speech therapist, physical therapist, behaviour specialist special education consultant None required at this time. |
| |

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

| Date | Test | Results |
|---------------|--|---|
| November 2007 | Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale Test of Non-verbal Intelligence, Third Edition (TONI-3) | Reports affirm cognitive functioning in the moderate range. |
| | | |

| Current Level of Performance and Achievement | Year-end Summary |
|---|--|
| September May 2009 teacher observation and checklists Amina enjoys sharing her lunch hours with the teacher assistants; however, she is shy around age peers. Peer interaction is developing slowly and Amina continues to benefit from having social interactions mediated by the teacher assistants. Amina's motivation to read increased during the year as she modelled the actions of her Grade 6 reading buddy. Amina's self help skills have improved and she is able to eat and drink independently. Due to fine motor challenges, Amina has begun to use word prediction software and story starter templates to write stories. She has responded positively to the use of the computer and can now write a four sentence story. | June |
| Grade Level of Achievement Reporting I | nformation |
| ☐ Adapted programming (graded curriculum) | |
| ☐ Modified programming (not graded curriculu | m) |
| | category of each goal and achievement level |
| If student is on a modified program, indicate relative to each goal category: | category of each goal and achievement level |
| relative to each goal category: | ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| relative to each goal category: • foundational skills (e.g., communication | |
| foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: all most academic readiness skills (e.g., reading outcomes in the programs of study in Grammatic reading skills) | ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable ess skills to prepare student for learning ade 1 and subsequent grade levels) |
| foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: all most academic readiness skills (e.g., readin outcomes in the programs of study in Gragoals achieved: all most | ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable ess skills to prepare student for learning ade 1 and subsequent grade levels) some none not applicable |
| foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: all most academic readiness skills (e.g., readin outcomes in the programs of study in Gragoals achieved: all most | ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable ess skills to prepare student for learning ade 1 and subsequent grade levels) |

Long-term Goal: Amina will maximize her residual hearing through amplification (hearing aids and FM systems).

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|--|-----------------|
| By December, Amina will discriminate between the presence and absence of a spoken syllable or word in a quiet environment. Amina will respond correctly on 40 consecutive presentations. | teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on checklist | |
| By June, Amina will discriminate between familiar environmental sounds that are interrupted (car horn) versus continuous (vacuum cleaner) in a quiet environment 80% of the time. | teacher/teacher assistant observations recorded on checklist | |
| | | |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

 Encourage and model correct articulation of new vocabulary and facilitate carry-over speech skills into everyday speech in the classroom.

Long-term Goal: Amina will play and work appropriately with her peers.

| teacher/teacher assistant observation sociogram anecdotal notes teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist based on classroom rules | |
|---|--|
| observation checklist based on classroom rules | |
| teacher/teacher assistant | |
| observation checklist | |
| teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist anecdotal notes sociogram | |
| | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist anecdotal notes |

- Schedule teacher assistants to provide direct supervision when Amina is in the hallways, physical
 education class and on the playground.
- Increase use of computer software to support development of social problem-solving skills.
- · Encourage and model age-appropriate social interactions using spoken language.

Long-term Goal: Amina will demonstrate awareness of addition and subtraction.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|--|------------------------|
| By November 30, Amina will use manipulatives to add and subtract whole numbers where the maximum sum is 9, using a place value chart, with 80% accuracy on ten problems. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests | |
| By the end of February, Amina will use manipulatives and a place value chart to add and subtract whole numbers to 12, with 80% accuracy on ten problems. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests | |
| By April 30, Amina will use diagrams and symbols to demonstrate the process of addition and subtraction of whole numbers, where the maximum sum is 9, with 80% accuracy on ten problems. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests | |
| By the end of June, Amina will use diagrams and symbols to demonstrate the process of addition and subtraction of whole numbers, where the maximum sum is 12, with 80% accuracy on ten problems. | teacher/teacher assistant observation checklist teacher made tests | |

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (hands-on, visual, multi-sensory).
- · Provide manipulatives and models during all mathematics classes.
- · Have well-lighted teaching/small group work areas.
- Individualize all tests and allow extra time.

Planning for Transition

- Angel Young will arrange for three case conferences during the year to involve all learning team members to review Amina's IPP goals and objectives as well as updating any assessment information that becomes available during the school year.
- Mr. and Mrs. Khan would like to visit a district senior elementary special education class to determine if that might be a more appropriate placement in which Amina could receive more focused instruction in sign language.
- Angel Young and Donna Major will arrange for assessment and consultation service referrals to be made in January 2010 for functional assessments to assist with programming for 2010/2011.

| Additional Information | |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| Signatures | |
| I understand and agree with the information cor | stained in this Individualized Pregram Plan |
| diderstand and agree with the information con | italned in this individualized Program Plan |
| runderstand and agree with the information con | named in this individualized Program Plan |
| Amy Khan John Khan | September 12, 2009 |
| Amy Khan John Khan | |
| Amy Khan John Khan | September 12, 2009 |
| Amy Khan John Khan Parents IPP Coordinator/Teacher | September 12, 2009 Date |
| Amy Khan John Khan Parents | September 12, 2009 Date September 12, 2009 |

Severe Physical or Medical Disability (Code 44)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe physical, medical or neurological disability is one who:

- a) has a medical diagnosis of a physical disability, specific neurological disorder or medical condition which creates a significant impact on the student's ability to function in the school environment (note: some physical or medical disabilities have little or no impact upon the student's ability to function in the school environment);
 and
- b) requires extensive adult assistance and modifications to the education environment in order to benefit from schooling.

A student with severe autism (or other severe pervasive developmental disorder) is included in this category. A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist, or medical professional specializing in the field of autism is required. A clinical diagnosis of autism is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Eligibility is determined by the functioning level of the student with autism.

In order for a diagnosis of autism to be made, the student needs to demonstrate impairment in the following areas:

- · social interaction and
- · communication, and
- exhibit stereotyped pattern of behaviour (e.g., hand flapping, body rocking, echolalia, insistence on sameness and resistance to change).

A student diagnosed with severe fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) may have fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) or alcohol-related neurodevelopmental disorder (ARND) and is included in this category. A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist with specialized training, or medical professional specializing in developmental disorders is required. A clinical diagnosis of FASD is not necessarily sufficient to qualify under this category. Eligibility is determined by the functioning level of the student with FASD. Students with severe FASD who exhibit significant impairment in the areas of social functioning, life skills, behaviour, learning, attention and concentration will need extensive intervention and support.

Questions and Answers

What documentation is required to determine students meet the special education coding criteria for severe physical or medical disability?

- A medical diagnosis of a physical, medical or neurological disability dated within the last three years.
- Once it is established by the school authority that the student has a chronic disability
 that has not changed significantly, a current functional assessment that
 demonstrates the impact of the student's disability while at school may be sufficient.
 Such functional assessments may be obtained from one of the Regional Educational
 Consulting Service providers (REACH, CASE, Edmonton Regional Educational
 Consulting Services, RÉSEAU) or other personnel specializing in the field.

What are some examples of severe medical or physical disabilities?

Students who are diagnosed with the following may meet the criteria for Code 44, depending upon the severity of the impact in the educational setting:

- · Tourette syndrome
- · cerebral palsy
- · fetal alcohol spectrum disorder
- · autism spectrum disorder
- brain injury
- cancer

What documentation is required to confirm a severe autism spectrum disorder?

- A clinical diagnosis by a psychiatrist, registered psychologist, or medical professional specializing in the field of autism.
- A functional assessment and anecdotal information that documents such aspects of the instructional environment as setting, instructional context and interpersonal factors that may affect the student's performance, as well as the strengths and needs of the individual student. Individuals with ASD may demonstrate difficulties with:
 - processing verbal and abstract information
 - regulating attention
 - generalizing
 - motor planning
 - transitioning between activities, settings and individuals
 - accommodating some forms of sensory experience
 - organizing and self-regulating.

What documentation is required to confirm a severe fetal alcohol spectrum disorder?

- A clinical diagnosis by a medical professional or diagnostic medical team specializing in the field of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders.
- A functional assessment and anecdotal information that documents such aspects of the instructional environment as setting, instructional context and interpersonal factors that may affect the student's performance, as well as the strengths and needs of the individual student. Individuals with FASD may demonstrate difficulties with:
 - academic learning
 - memory in the areas of short term recall and long term retrieval of information and directions
 - language such as interpreting figurative language and social situations, and producing complex sentence structures in speech and written language
 - developing complex, abstract thinking and reasoning
 - maintaining appropriate attention and focus
 - adaptive skills and social emotional functioning, which are be delayed relative to chronological age peers

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- Cognitive assessment reports, speech-language therapy reports, occupational therapy reports, physical therapy reports (as required)
- · Any current, relevant medical reports

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 49 to 59.

Case Study — Cheng

| Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s) | Cheng is a twelve-year-old student in Grade 6. Dr. Gold, psychiatrist, ABS Hospital, diagnosed Cheng with severe autistic disorder in November 2000. Cheng has extreme difficulties with: social interaction and with peers expressive/receptive communication Cheng exhibits stereotypic behaviours, specifically hand-flapping and pulling his hair. He is easily upset when not prepared for changes in routine/transitions. Cheng uses some picture communication symbols to enhance communication (expressive and receptive). Cheng requires assistance to develop more appropriate social interactions with peers and adults. |
|--|--|
| Current supports/services in place | Cheng receives support for language arts and math, in a small group work setting (with 2 other students) for 2 hours daily. Cheng's teacher has 5 hours of Educational Assistant/Teacher Assistant support for the development of academics in the classroom setting. teacher assistant supervision is provided before school and during recess and lunch. Cheng's learning team monitors and records his behaviours daily, which include: initiated social interactions use of oral communication and communication board temper outbursts obsessive-compulsive behaviours. Members of the RECS team provide on-going behaviour communication consultative support. Cheng's teachers and staff meet bi-monthly with his parents and home support worker to review his program. |
| Individualized Program Plan | Cheng's IPP was developed with his learning team, including his parents, RECS consultants and school staff. His IPP reflects Cheng's need for routine and identifies goals for communication, social/behaviour and academic progress. |



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010

| | PLEASE CHECK CODE |
|-----|--|
| 4 | 1 Severe Cognitive |
| 4 | 2 Severe Emotional/Behavioural |
| 4 | 3 Severe Multiple |
| X 4 | 4 Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism |
| 4 | 5 Deafness |
| 4 | 6 Blindness |

| School Authority GH | I Authority | 1 | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|----|
| School Valley Schoo | | | | ., |
| Student Name Cheng | | | | |
| Alberta Student Numbe | r (ASN) | XXXXXXXX | | |
| Birth date (yy/mm/dd) | 97/12/ | 16 | Grade | 6 |
| Placement (describe) | Regular | grade classroom – 20 students | | |

1. Supporting documentation from the appropriate professional(s) (please attach copies):

| | Professional Conducting Assessment |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| November 2000 | Dr. Gold |
| updated June 2008 | |
| | |

Additional assessment information (please attach copies):

| | March 2008: functional assessment | Report attached |
|---|---|-----------------|
| • | May 2008: Gates-McGinitie Reading Comprehension | Report attached |
| | May 2008: Gates-McGinitie Spelling | Report attached |
| | May 2008: Key Math | Report attached |
| • | April 2008: Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT) | Report attached |
| • | September 2008: Alberta Diagnostic Reading Test | Report attached |
| • | May 2007: Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised | Report attached |
| • | May 2007: Stanford Binet Intelligence Scale: Fifth Edition (SB-V) | Report attached |

How does the condition/disability impact the student in the learning environment?
 Difficulties with social interaction; expressive/receptive communications; stereotypical behaviours; compulsive and obsessive behaviours; preoccupation with science fiction movies.

| provided to the student: | following supports from (a) to (e) that are being |
|---|---|
| a) Frequent specialized one-on-one inter | vention provided during 2009/2010: |
| specialized setting with teacher | (hours per day); (staff:student rati |
| X small group work with teacher and/or teach | cher assistant 2 (hours per day) 1:3 (staff:student rati |
| X teacher assistant | 3 (hours per day); 1:1 (staff:student rati |
| interpreter | (hours per day); (staff:student rati |
| other (specify) | |
| b) Specialized equipment and/or assistiv | re devices provided during 2009/2010: |
| X communication devices | OT/PT equipment |
| assistive technology/devices | specialized furniture |
| sound field amplification | personal FM system |
| other (specify) | specialized software |
| 7 | and transfers |
| | |
| | agement of equipment X OT/PT therapy |
| | If training mobility training (wheelchai |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour | walker) al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: I notes X behaviour plan other rly X daily weekly monthly |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: I notes X behaviour plan other rly X daily weekly monthly cal emergency plan other |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the studen | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hour Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hour e) Direct support services for the student e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams Services must be provided to the individual student | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the student e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher X spece | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: I notes X behaviour plan other rly X daily weekly monthly cal emergency plan other urly daily weekly monthly t at a cost to the system during 2009/2010: as: (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RESEAU), Student Health Partnership, on a regularly scheduled basis. |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the student e g., Regional Educational Consulting Service team Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher X spec special education consultant ATL | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the studen e g., Regional Educational Consulting Service team Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher X special education consultant ATL hearing consultant regis | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the student e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher X special education consultant ATL hearing consultant regis occupational therapist physical | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medic Frequency → hou e) Direct support services for the studen e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service team Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher X special education consultant ATL hearing consultant regis occupational therapist physical | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hour Medical medical logs medical logs Frequency → hour e) Direct support services for the student e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams Services must be provided to the individual student itinerant teacher | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |
| d) Frequent documentation of behaviour. X Behaviour X checklist X anecdota Frequency → X hou Medical medical logs medica | al and/or medical status during 2009/2010: If notes |

DECLARATION

Relevant assessment documentation to support the claim is available, at least three of five supports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a current IPP is included in the student's file, and the student meets the 2009/2010 severe disabilities coding criteria.

September 15, 2009

Ms. Principal

Date

Signature of School Authority Designate

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Cheng

Date of Birth: 12/16/1996 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 12

Parents: Liang & Bo Date I.P.P. Created: September 2009

Address: Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 44

Year of School/Grade: Grade 6

Background information: Classroom context

School: Valley School, GHI Authority

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: P. O'Grady

Additional IPP Team Members: W. Mathews, school administrator

Liang & Bo. parents

J. Reiche, resource teacher G. Eady, teacher assistant

R. Lannigan, speech-language pathologist

S. Ellis, home support worker A. Holt, behaviour consultant

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Cheng's parents are very involved in the identification of IPP goals. They are especially interested in supporting the development of more appropriate classroom behaviours and using communication strategies consistently at home in order to reduce Cheng's frustration.

Strengths

- · follows instructions with visual prompts
- · uses word processing programs for writing
- · uses a timer to monitor on-task behaviour
- uses visual schedule to prepare for changes

Areas of Need

- to develop increased independence at school
- · to learn to cope with transitions
- · to learn to calm himself when anxious
- · to develop more appropriate social behaviours

| Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling | Coordinated Support Services | |
|---|---|--|
| No current medical conditions that impact schooling | Teacher assistant — G. Eady Classroom teacher — P. O'Grady Communication consultant — R. Lannigan Home support worker — S. Ellis Behaviour consultant — A. Holt None required at this time | |

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

| Date | Test | Results |
|------------|--|---|
| May 2007 | Leiter International Performance Scale: Revised Stanford Binet Intelligence Scale: Fifth Edition (SB-V) | Nonverbal reasoning skills appear to be approximately two years delayed. Assessment with the SB-V was attempted and discontinued. Psychologist felt that Cheng's verbal reasoning skills and oral skills were considerably weaker than his nonverbal skills. |
| April 2008 | Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT) | Cheng's performance was very inconsistent. His decoding skills were somewhat stronger than his comprehension skills but his articulation problems made it difficult to understand all of his responses. |
| March 2008 | Functional behaviour and communication assessments | Cheng displays abnormal language development and social interaction, unusual interests, self-stimulatory behaviours, obsessive compulsive behaviours and resistance to change, all of which are consistent with his diagnosis of severe autism. |

| Ac | rrent Level of Performance and hievement | Year-end Summary |
|-----|---|--|
| Sep | tember | June |
| | Cheng can follow simple written/drawn instructions that are accompanied by familiar language patterns or examples. Cheng enjoys writing stories using a word processor with word prediction. He can write stories of up to 5 sentences. Cheng requires visual prompts to attempt word problems. He refuses to attempt geometry problems. Alberta Diagnostic Reading Test: Cheng relies primarily on a sight word approach although he does seem to be developing some phonological awareness. Cheng can answer very simple comprehension questions involving literal recall. | Cheng has demonstrated gains in his understanding and use of oral and visual communication strategies over the year. Cheng will use his picture schedule with a verbal prompt about half of the time. The rest of the time, he still requires physical prompts. Cheng is developing independent skills (supported by visual scripts) to work in the classroom and is less reliant on the teacher assistant. Cheng has mastered using his red/green symbol to indicate desire for attention and desire to be left alone. Cheng is beginning to use his portable schedule to support himself in transitioning between activities throughout the school (e.g., at recess, lunch, gym). |
| Gra | ade Level of Achievement Reporting | Information |
| | Adapted programming (graded curriculum) | |
| | Modified programming (graded curriculum) | um) |
| _ | | te category of each goal and achievement level |
| | relative to each goal category: | o dategory or dustrigour and admicroment level |
| - | relative to each goal category: foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| - | relative to each goal category: foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: all most | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable |
| - | relative to each goal category: foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: all most | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable ness skills to prepare student for learning |
| , | relative to each goal category: • foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) Goals achieved: • academic readiness skills (e.g., reading outcomes in the programs of study in Gradiness achieved: • academic achieved: • all • most | ons, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor some none not applicable ness skills to prepare student for learning rade 1 and subsequent grade levels) |

Long-term Goal: Cheng will use oral and visual communication strategies to achieve his desires and function more independently in the classroom and at home.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|---|---|
| By November, with a physical prompt, Cheng will use a detailed picture schedule to follow the class routine in four out of four class periods for four consecutive days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation parent observation (home) | achieved At home, Cheng uses his picture schedule (scripted with PCS for dinner routines) with verbal prompts. |
| By February, with a verbal prompt, Cheng will use a detailed picture schedule to follow the class routine in four out of four class periods for four consecutive days. | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documented records | With a verbal prompt, Cheng will complete his work independently, follow the instructional routine for the assignment and return his work to the "finished basket" about half the time. He still requires a physical prompt the rest of the time. |
| By April, Cheng will use his choosing book paired with oral language to support 85% of his communication attempts in the classroom. | teacher/teacher assistant observation | Emerging: Cheng will use his choosing book to express his wants |
| By June report card, when using his choosing book for communication support, Cheng will: a) select and verbalize five appropriate social script sentences to interact with a peer during partner or small group work on three out of five occasions b) orient himself toward and attend to a peer's attempt to reply using the choosing book | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation | Requires prompting Attends to a peer's attempt but does not respond back or initiate further exchange |

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.

Long-term Goal: Cheng will further develop his functional academic skills in reading and math.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|---|---|--|
| By November, when using the classroom computer and his personal dictionary, Cheng will write and illustrate sentences using five new words per week. | teacher observation of written product checklist of sight words in personal dictionary | achieved |
| By mid January, when silently reading a series of short instructional level passages, Cheng will be able to recall (in written form using the class computer) two details in two of three passages. | teacher will select passages from computer software reading program teacher assistant will measure criteria and document progress | achieved |
| By March Break, when given math word problems, Cheng will select the correct strategy card for solving one-step problems on 8 out of 10 attempts. | teacher provides problem sheets; teacher assistant will monitor and document strategy selection | achieved; create strategy cards for two-step problems |
| By May, when silently reading a series of short instructional level passages, Cheng will be able to sequence pictures of the story events in three of four passages. | teacher selects passages and sequence cards teacher assistant will measure criteria and record progress | achieved |

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- · Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.

Long-term Goal: Cheng will develop increasing control of compliant and positive behaviours in order to achieve his desires.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|---|--|
| By October, when a self-calming strategy is modelled, Cheng will imitate the demonstrated routine on 70% of opportunities. | teacher assistant models and monitors token reinforcers and documents progress | achieved |
| By November, when working independently in the classroom, Cheng will use a visual cue card to signal his request for adult attention or his desire to be left alone on 50% of opportunities. | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation | achieved; increase to 75% use by June |
| By the end of January, when prompted verbally and paired with a visual cue, Cheng will initiate a self-calming strategy 50% of the time. | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation | achieved |
| By March break, when working on assignments, Cheng will use a visual cue card to signal when he needs a break on 60% of opportunities. | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation | Emerging: Cheng uses his break card 40% of the time. When frustrated, Cheng refuses to do a task rather than ask for help or a break Continue to model and reinforce use of the break card before seeing signs of agitation. |
| By June 15, when anxious or frustrated, Cheng will use his calming strategy 75% of the time without prompting. | teacher/teacher assistant observation and documentation | Cheng requires verhal prompts to use his calming strategy 100% of the time. |

- · Provide instruction in a variety of ways (e.g., hands on, visual, multisensory).
- · Use a daily communication book between home and school.
- Use visual cues and visually scripted instructional routines (drawings, picture communication symbols) to support Cheng's learning.
- · Provide instructional opportunities for Cheng to make choices in the classroom.
- Provide a verbal cue paired with a visual cue prior to transitions between activities.
- Provide modeling and explicit instruction in social skills strategies (e.g., visually scripted peer interactions).

Planning for Transition

- Cheng will continue to need a computer next year in junior high, so arrangements for portable technology should be explored by June 1, 2010.
- The junior high resource teacher has already visited with Cheng and observed him in the classroom and playground settings.
- A volunteer peer helper from Grade 7 will visit Cheng in June and accompany him and his mother on two visits to the junior high school.
- Arrangements will be made for the same volunteer peer helper to participate in some activities with Cheng next year as part of the peer helper program.
- During Grade 7, Cheng's IPP team and parents will help Cheng explore areas of strength for future vocational and community participation.

Goals and Objectives Requiring Ongoing Focus

- Cheng uses his choosing book enthusiastically, but he occasionally fails to comprehend that he
 must follow through with his choices. This needs re-teaching and reinforcement to firm up skill and
 understanding.
- When anxious, Cheng still uses disruptive behaviours about 50% of the time (yelling, banging).
 Cheng's parents will continue to reinforce Cheng's use of self-calming strategies and vigual communication strategies over the summer. Before September, a meeting will be arranged to discuss effective reinforcers for calming time.
- · Continued focus on developing functional reading and writing skills.

Strategies That Worked Well

- Instructional routines (scripted with PCS) facilitated Cheng's independence in the classroom.
- Colour coding assignment folders and providing colour coded "finished baskets" for finished work were effective in helping Cheng find, organize and return his work.
- Visual cues supported Cheng's communication and positive behaviours while participating in activities.
- · Cheng willingly used the computer reading programs.
- The choosing book is an effective system for enhancing Cheng's receptive and expressive communication.

Support Services Required

Teacher assistant support to continue at the present level for the 2009/2010 school year then
re-evaluate

Recommendations

- Set up a classroom quiet area and provide strong positive reinforcement when Cheng asks appropriately to use the quiet area.
- Maintain close communication and coordination of strategies between home and school to maintain consistency.
- Suggested focus for the Grade 7 year: help Cheng develop coping skills for new challenges in the
 junior high school environment (e.g., changing classes, use of lockers, multiple teachers, and
 increase functional reading and writing skills).

Additional Information

Deafness (Code 45)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with a severe to profound hearing loss is one who:

- a) has a hearing loss of 71 decibels (dB) or more unaided in the better ear over the normal speech range (500 to 4000 Hz) which interferes with the use of oral language as the primary form of communication, or has a cochlear implant preceded by a 71 dB hearing loss unaided in the better ear; and
- b) requires extensive modifications and specialized educational supports; and
- c) has a diagnosis by a clinical or educational audiologist. New approvals require an audiogram within the past 3 years.

If a student has a severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss that has not changed significantly since the initial approval by Alberta Education, documentation from a qualified specialist in the field of deafness outlining the severity of the hearing loss and modifications to the learning environment may be sufficient to support eligibility.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student with a severe to profound hearing loss?

- Hearing loss of 71 dB or more unaided in the better ear over the normal speech range on an audiogram.
- The primary form of communication may be an oral approach and/or sign language (e.g., Signed English and/or American Sign Language).
- · Requires extensive modifications and specialized educational supports.

What documentation is required for eligibility?

- An audiogram from an audiologist must be in the student's file. If a student has a severe to profound hearing loss that has not changed significantly, an updated audiogram is not necessary.
- A recent functional assessment from personnel specializing in the field of deaf and hard of hearing, e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RÉSEAU), may be sufficient for programming purposes. A functional assessment report specifies the amount and type of personal assistance, specialized programming, equipment and/or communication access required by the student.

Hearing level classification equivalents:

| Descriptor | Decibel (dB) range (how loud a sound must be in order to be heard) | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Normal hearing for children | 0-15 dB | | |
| Minimal loss | 16-25 dB | | |
| Mild loss | 26-40 dB | | |
| Moderate loss | 41-55 dB | | |
| Moderate-severe loss | 56-70 dB | | |
| Severe loss | 71-90 dB | | |
| Profound loss | 90 + dB | | |

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package?

- Additional reports from hearing specialists, speech-language therapists, or other professionals working with the student
- · Current relevant medical reports
- Any documentation, including anecdotal records, reflecting the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 62 to 74.

Case Study — Lukina

Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s)

- Lukina is a nine-year-old girl in a regular Grade 4 classroom.
- Lukina has a congenital severe sloping to profound, binaural (involving both ears) sensorineural hearing loss, diagnosed at age 16 months by Rob Ring, audiologist, in September 2001. There has been no significant change in Lukina's hearing.
- Shortly after diagnosis, Lukina began speech therapy.
 It was discontinued at the end of last school year.
- Beginning when she was about 3 years old, Lukina attended a preschool designated for children with hearing loss. Her parents choose an oral/aural approach in combination with sign language as the mode of communication.
- Lukina's articulation is difficult to understand but intelligible to those who know her.
- Assessments by S. Town, RECS hearing consultant, confirmed academic, language and sign language skills delays. She provided programming recommendations in May 2008.
- Lukina continues to use a combination of oral and sign language as her primary mode of communication at home and at school.

Current supports/services in place

- Lukina's teacher has access to an educational audiologist and education consultant for deaf and hard of hearing to support Lukina's educational programming.
- The RECS consultant for deaf and hard of hearing supports Lukina in her school program and assists the teacher and other staff in the development and implementation of her IPP.
- Lukina's learning environment and presentation of materials are modified and/or adapted to address her communication and academic needs.
- Lukina is supported throughout the day by a qualified sign language interpreter, including recess and lunch breaks.
- Lukina has access to a computer for additional literacy/communication support.

Individualized Program Plan

- Lukina's IPP was developed with the learning team, which consists of her parents, teacher(s), consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and school administrator.
- Measurable goals are identified to address Lukina's language, communication, literacy and social/emotional needs. The IPP identifies assessment data, current level of performance and achievement, her strengths, needs, procedures for evaluating student progress, coordinated supports, teaching/classroom adaptations including additional program supports, transition plans and year-end summary.



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010

| | PLEASE CHECK CODE |
|-----|--|
| 4 | 1 Severe Cognitive |
| 4 | 2 Severe Emotional/Behavioural |
| 4 | 3 Severe Multiple |
| 4 | 4 Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism |
| X 4 | 5 Deafness |
| 4 | 6 Blindness |

| School Authority | /ista Authori | ty | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| School South Scho | ool | | | | |
| Student Name Luki | na | | | | |
| Alberta Student Num | ber (ASN) | XXXXXXXX | | | |
| Birth date (yy/mm/dd |) 2000/0 | 05/12 | Grade | 4 | |
| Placement (describe | Included | in regular Grade 4 classroom | | | |

1. Supporting documentation from the appropriate professional(s) (please attach copies):

| Diagnosis | Year of Diagnosis | Professional Conducting Assessment |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Congenital severe sloping to profound, binaural | September 2001 | Rob Ring, Audiologist |

Additional assessment information (please attach copies):

May 2008: update for programming by S. Town, RECS hearing consultant

April 2007: Test of Written Language-Third Edition (TOWL-3) — well below average on all subtests

March 2008: Gates-McGinitie Reading Comprehension Test — 20th Percentile

October 2005: Raven's Standard Progressive (RSP) Matrices — Average range IQ

June 2005: K-Bit — Below average IQ (verbal only)

How does the condition/disability impact the student in the learning environment?
 Lukina has academic, language, communication and literacy delays. Lukina uses an oral approach in combination with a sign language interpreter to access information and to communicate.

- Services provided in accordance with the Funding Manual for School Authorities 2009-2010 School Year. Identify three or more of the following supports from (a) to (e) that are being provided to the student:
 - a) Frequent specialized one-on-one intervention provided during 2009/2010:

| | specialized setting with teacher | | (hours per day); | 4.4 | (staff:student ratio) |
|---|--|---|------------------|-----|-----------------------|
| X | small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant | 1 | (hours per day) | 1:4 | (staff:student ratio) |
| | teacher assistant | | (hours per day); | | (staff:student ratio) |
| X | interpreter | 6 | (hours per day); | 1:1 | (staff:student ratio) |
| | other (specify) | | | | |

b) Specialized equipment and/or assistive devices provided during 2009/2010:

| communication devices | OT/PT equipment |
|------------------------------|------------------------|
| assistive technology/devices | specialized furniture |
| sound field amplification | X personal FM system |
| other (specify) | X specialized software |

| c) Assistance with personal ca | are/health-related interventions p | provided during 2009/2010: |
|--|---|---|
| assistance with personal hygies catheterization g-tube feeding administration of medication other | ne lifts and transfers respiratory therapy management of equipment O&M training | feeding program oxygen administration OT/PT therapy mobility training (wheelchair, walker |
| d) Frequent documentation of Behaviour checklist | anecdotal notes pehaviour | |
| Frequency | | weekly monthly |
| Medical medical logs | medical emergency plan | other |
| Frequency | | weekly monthly |
| hearing consultant occupational therapist O&M specialist other | x registered psychologist physical therapist school liaison worker | audiologist behaviour specialist school counsellor |
| Individualized Program Plan (IPP) The current IPP identifies the as programming to address those | ssessed needs of the student and i | ncludes specific educational |
| | mplemented and signed by the lead | rning team, including the |
| DECLARATION Relevant assessment documentation supports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, student meets the 2009/2010 severe d | a current IPP is included in the s | |
| September 28, 2009 | | M. Simpson |
| | | |

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Lukina

Date of Birth: 05/12/2000 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 9

Parents: Mr. and Mrs. Parent Date I.P.P. Created: September 2009

Address: Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 45

Year of School/Grade: 4

Background information: Classroom context

School: South School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: A. Parsons

Additional IPP Team Members: M. Simpson, principal

Mr. & Mrs. Parent, parents

S. Town, RECS consultant for deaf and hard of hearing

J. Panwhar, special education consultant

P. Gaudet, interpreter

D. Jones, school counsellor

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

- Lukina and her family communicate using a combination of speech and sign language. Lukina's
 parents are very involved with her education and supportive of the school.
- Lukina has support from a trained sign language interpreter (e.g., class activities and communication from staff and students, recess, field trips).
- Results of the September 2008 psycho-educational assessment will assist in the development of Lukina's IPP to ensure that she is presented with materials at the appropriate level and to identify effective strategies.
- Currently, the consultant for the deaf and hard of hearing has recommended that the teacher(s) refer to Book 4 of Alberta Education's Programming for Students with Special Needs Series:
 Teaching Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing for strategies and programming considerations. Lukina's learning team developed the IPP.
- The school counsellor meets with Lukina to discuss her self-image, self-esteem and self-confidence.

Strengths

- · enjoys playing sports
- supportive family
- math computation
- · willingness to try new things
- · enjoys hands-on activities
- friendly and outgoing

Areas of Need

- sign language vocabulary (expressive and receptive) and fluency skills
- · communication repair skills
- · keyboarding skills
- · English literacy skills
- · focusing his visual attention where/when needed
- · greater independence in completing all academic assignments

Medical Conditions that Impact Coordinated Support Services Schooling Congenital severe sloping to profound S. Town, RECS consultant for deaf and hard sensorineural binaural hearing loss; no auditory of hearing access to oral information J. Panwhar, special ed. consultant P. Gaudet, interpreter No current medical conditions that impact D. Jones, school counsellor schooling T. Smith, technology/computer software consultant None required at this time

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

| Date | Test | Results | |
|----------------|---|---------|--|
| September 2009 | P. Roberts, C. Psych., is scheduled to administer a formal psycho-educational assessment, including cognitive functioning, to Lu in early September 2009 | kina | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Current Level of Performance and Achievement | Year-end Summary |
|--|---|
| September April 2009 Test of Written Language-3rd Edition (TOWL-3) • well below average on all subtests March 2009 Gates-McGinitie Reading Comprehension Test • 20th percentile October 2007 Raven's Standard Progressive (RSP) Matrices • high average range (non-verbal) June 2007 Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test (K-Bit) • below average (verbal) | June |
| Grade Level of Achievement Reporting | Information |
| ■ Adapted programming (graded curriculum) |) |
| ■ Modified programming (not graded curricular) | |
| If student is on a modified program, indica relative to each goal category: | ate category of each goal and achievement level |
| foundational skills (e.g., communication skills) | ions, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| Goals achieved: all most | some none not applicable |
| academic readiness skills (e.g., read outcomes in the programs of study in Goals achieved: | |
| Codio domotod. | e student in developing independence in the |
| home, school and community) Goals achieved: all most | |

Long-term Goal: Lukina will increase her receptive and expressive sign language vocabulary and subject area concepts at the level of her ability, with increased clarity of hand movements.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | edures Progress Review | |
|--|---|--|--|
| For each unit/theme for all subject areas, Lukina will learn a minimum of 15 new vocabulary words in sign, both receptive and expressive, with 100% accuracy at the end of each unit/theme. | teacher-prepared formal and informal assessment and records interpreter's observations regarding clarity of sign (hand movements) for others' understanding | At the completion of each unit/ theme for all subject areas. | |
| Lukina will preview and review concepts in both written and signed form with her interpreter under the guidance of each subject teacher to raise her average in each subject area by at least 3% each reporting period. | teacher and interpreter observations and records formal and informal assessments of subject content | November March June | |
| During social activities with deaf children and others who are learning to sign, Lukina will spontaneously engage in and respond positively to others' signed communication at least 80% of the time by the end of June. | teacher and interpreter observations and documentation | NovemberMarchJune | |

- · Lukina receives pre-teaching and review of written and signed vocabulary when new content is introduced.
- . The teacher and the interpreter must pre-determine which words will be included when Lukina has oral spelling tests so signs (not fingerspellling) are used, and if she is required define vocabulary. the interpreter uses signs that do not identify the concept/meaning.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will learn and utilize effective and age-appropriate communication repair strategies.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|---|---|------------------------|
| By the end of June, with no prompting, Lukina will effectively use at least three effective communication repair strategies in 75% of the opportunities. | observation and documentation by the interpreter, teacher and consultant for deaf and hard of hearing | weekly |
| By June, Lukina will appropriately indicate when she needs communication rescue from the interpreter, after making at least two independent attempts to repair the communication breakdown in 85% of the opportunities. | observation and documentation by the interpreter and consultant for deaf and hard of hearing | weekly |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Long-term Goal: Lukina will improve her ability to focus his visual attention appropriately.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|--|--|-----------------|
| By mid-June, Lukina will independently refocus her visual attention appropriately with no more than two prompts in at least 75% of the situations. | teacher, hearing consultant and interpreter observations and documentation | daily |
| By mid-June, Lukina will ignore visual distractions, after a brief visual check, 75% of the time. | teacher, hearing consultant and interpreter observations and documentation | • daily |

- Lukina's seating is adjusted to ensure a clear line of vision to the speaker and/or interpreter who
 will stand or sit near to the speaker. This allows Lukina greater opportunity to see the facial
 expressions of the speaker as well as understand the oral communication. Ensure that the light
 source is on the face of the speaker and that Lukina's back is to the light source.
- The speaker provides pause time in oral communication when Lukina is required to read or observe a model/activity and then continues talking when Lukina resumes visual contact with the speaker. She cannot look at the activity/book, speaker and interpreter at the same time.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will improve her English literacy skills.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Lukina will read stories with 80% comprehension at mid Grade 2 level by March 2010 | comprehension activities from the classroom reading series | weekly | |
| By June, Lukina will write an average of one creative writing story each week with an 80% accuracy using conventions and sentence structure at the early Grade 2 level. | teacher formal and informal assessment TOWL-3 | weekly | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- Much of Lukina's individualized language arts instruction, assignments and extra practice can be done with computer software support.
- A home-reading program with the parents will be implemented.
- Lukina will have access to a computer for academic support and communication support when applicable.

Long-term Goal: Lukina will demonstrate greater independence in starting and completing academic assignments.

| Assessment Procedures | Progress Review | |
|---|--|--|
| teacher and interpreter observation and documentation | daily | |
| teacher and interpreter observation and documentation | daily | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | teacher and interpreter observation and documentation teacher and interpreter observation and | |

Accommodations and strategies to support objectives

· Use of captioned videos when available.

Planning for Transition

- In June, the Grade 5 teacher(s) will meet with the Grade 4 teacher(s), parents, interpreter, consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and educational audiologist to provide information regarding Lukina's programming, accommodations and progress. Identify the learning team and each member's role for Grade 5.
- The Grade 5 teacher, the consultant for deaf and hard of hearing, and the educational audiologist will determine a tentative schedule of visits for the following year.
- The FM system is checked by the audiologist and sent for maintenance if necessary.
- Provide parents with contacts and organizations which may allow interaction with other signing deaf students as well as signing deaf adult role models.

| Additional Information | |
|---|--|
| Signatures | |
| understand and agree with the information | n contained in this Individualized Program Plan. |
| Parents | Date |
| PP Coordinator/Teacher | Date |
| Principal | Date |

Blindness (Code 46)

Special Education Coding Criteria

A funded student with severe vision impairment is one who:

- has corrected vision so limited that it is inadequate for most or all instructional situations, and information must be presented through other means; and
- b) has visual acuity ranging from 6/60 (20/200) in the better eye after correction, to having no usable vision or field of vision reduced to an angle of 20 degrees.

If a student has a severe to profound visual impairment that has not changed significantly since the initial approval by Alberta Education, documentation from a qualified specialist in the field of vision outlining the severity of the disability and modifications to the learning environment may be sufficient to support eligibility.

For those students who may be difficult to assess (e.g., cortical blindness – developmentally delayed), a functional visual assessment by a qualified specialist in the field of vision or a medical professional may be sufficient to support eligibility.

Questions and Answers

What are the main characteristics of a student with a severe visual disability?

- In order to participate fully within the educational environment, students who are blind or visually impaired require instruction in disability-specific skills. These include:
 - compensatory academic skills, including braille or alternate format materials such as large print or audio tape
 - orientation and mobility
 - social interaction skills
 - assistive technology such as screen readers
 - independent living or personal management skills
 - visual efficiency skills
 - recreation, leisure, career and life management skills.

What documentation is required for eligibility?

 Reports or results from a medical doctor, ophthalmologist, specialized teachers or other medical professionals specializing in the field, which document the severity of the disability, must be in the student's file.

What other supporting documentation relevant to the student's disabling condition and programming requirements may be included with the student package for monitoring by Alberta Education?

- Additional reports from specialized teachers, orientation and mobility specialists or other professionals working with the student
- · Current relevant medical reports
- Any documentation, including anecdotal information, which reflects the student's needs in the learning environment.

For additional information, please refer to the case study on pages 76 to 85.

Case Study — Shannon

| Background information, description of severe disabling condition(s) | Shannon is a nine-year-old Grade 4 student. She was diagnosed at age 2 in June 2002, with ocular albinism and nystagmus (involuntary movement of the eyes) by Dr. Lee, ophthalmologist. Visual acuity was recorded at that time as 6/60 in each eye. This is consistent with the definition of legal blindness. Shannon is of above average intelligence. An updated functional assessment in March 2008 by Tom Brown, specialized teacher, includes programming recommendations. |
|---|--|
| Current supports/services in place | Consultation services for the visually impaired are provided on a bi-monthly basis. Shannon is provided with braille and tactile modifications, preferred seating and the use of assistive technology that includes magnification devices. Shannon receives orientation and mobility instruction bi-monthly from a qualified orientation and mobility instructor. Shannon is supported by a full-time teacher assistant whose primary responsibilities include: ensuring that all visual materials presented within the classroom environment are available to Shannon in an alternate format providing one-to-one assistance in the follow-up of braille instruction, including nemeth code providing follow-up and support for orientation and mobility needs providing support in the use of assistive technology. |
| Individualized Program Plan | Shannon's IPP was developed in consultation with her learning team, which includes Shannon, her mother, teacher, specialized teacher, orientation and mobility specialist, and teacher assistant. The goals of her educational program reflect her needs for the development of specialized skills, including braille, orientation and mobility, and the use of assistive technology. Shannon's program goals also reflect the need for her to learn skills in the areas of personal grooming and care, social interaction and recreation. Shannon's primary medium for reading is braille, because of fatigue factors associated with print reading. |



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010

| | PLEASE CHECK CODE |
|------|--|
| 41 | Severe Cognitive |
| 42 | Severe Emotional/Behavioural |
| 43 | Severe Multiple |
| 44 | Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism |
| 45 | Deafness |
| X 46 | Blindness |

| hool Battner School | l | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| ident Name Shanno | on | | | |
| erta Student Numbe | r (ASN) XXXXXX | XXX | | |
| | | //// | Grade 4 | |
| th date (yy/mm/dd) | 2000/06/30 | | Grade 4 | |
| cement (describe) | Grade 4 classroom | | | |
| Supporting docume | ntation from the a | ppropriate profess | ional(s) (please attac | ch copies): |
| | | Year of | | |
| Diagr | nosis | Diagnosis | Professional Con | ducting Assessment |
| Ocular albinism; visu both eyes after corre | | June 2002 | Dr. Lee | |
| Nystagmus | | June 2002 | Dr. Lee | |
| How does the condi | tion/disability impleto access print and a using braille. Orie | act the student in visual materials usi ntation and mobility | the learning environing braille, CCTV and et training is ongoing in | enlarged print. She |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school Services provided in School Year. Identif | tion/disability impleted access print and a using braille. Oried and in the common accordance with the ty three or more of | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manu | the learning environing braille, CCTV and e | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at sch Services provided in School Year. Identifi provided to the stud | tion/disability imports access print and access print and ausing braille. Orie cool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following sup | the learning environing braille, CCTV and etraining is ongoing in | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able of requires instruction in independence at sch Services provided in School Year. Identifi provided to the studi a) Frequent specia | tion/disability imports access print and in using braille. Ories and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following sup | the learning environing braille, CCTV and extraining is ongoing in the learning is ongoing in the lear | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identify provided to the studia) Frequent special | tion/disability imports access print and in using braille. Ories and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: Ilized one-on-one in the common accordance with the commo | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following sup | the learning environing braille, CCTV and extraining is ongoing in training for School Authorports from (a) to (e) (hours per day): | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identification in the studies of the studies o | tion/disability impleted access print and access print and a using braille. Ories ool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: Ilized one-on-one if the with teacher and/ | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following sup | the learning environing braille, CCTV and et training is ongoing in the contract of the co | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : (staff:student ratio) (staff:student ratio) |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identification in provided to the studia) Frequent special specialized setting small group work teacher assistant | tion/disability impleted access print and access print and a using braille. Ories ool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: Ilized one-on-one if the with teacher and/ | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following sup | the learning environing braille, CCTV and extraining is ongoing in training is ongoing in t | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identification in provided to the studia) Frequent special special specialized setting small group work. | tion/disability impleted access print and access print and a using braille. Orie cool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: alized one-on-one if the common with teacher and/ont O & M instruction | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following superitor provides the control of the following superitor provides the following superitor provi | the learning environing braille, CCTV and entraining is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able of requires instruction in independence at school year. Identify provided to the studia) Frequent special specialized setting small group work teacher assistant interpreter interpreter interpreter. | tion/disability imports access print and in using braille. Ories ool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: Ilized one-on-one if ing with teacher and/ont O & M instruction per month). | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following superior teacher assistant and braille instruct | the learning environing braille, CCTV and entraining is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identify provided to the studia) Frequent special specialized setting small group work teacher assistant interpreter to ther (specify) | tion/disability impleto access print and a using braille. Orie cool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: alized one-on-one if the common with teacher and/or accordance with the common with the common with teacher and/or accordance with the common with the common with the common with teacher and/or accordance with the common w | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following superior teacher assistant and braille instruct | the learning environing braille, CCTV and entraining is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing is o | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school Year. Identify provided to the studia) Frequent special specialized setting small group work teacher assistant interpreter tother (specify) b) Specialized eduction | tion/disability implete access print and in using braille. Orie cool and in the common accordance with fy three or more of lent: alized one-on-one if the common with teacher and/or as devices | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following superity or teacher assistant and braille instruct assistive devices provided the student in the following superity or teacher assistant and braille instruct | the learning environing braille, CCTV and entraining is ongoing in training is ongoing in the contract of the con | enlarged print. She order to increase rities 2009-2010 that are being : |
| How does the condi Shannon is not able to requires instruction in independence at school year. Identification of the student o | tion/disability impleted access print and in using braille. Orie cool and in the common accordance with the fy three or more of lent: Ilized one-on-one if the with teacher and/or the commonth accordance with teacher and/or as devices | act the student in visual materials usintation and mobility nunity. the Funding Manual the following superity or teacher assistant and braille instruct assistive devices provided the student in the following superity or teacher assistant and braille instruct | the learning environing braille, CCTV and entraining is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing is ongoing in training is ongoing is o | enlarged print. She order to increase order to i |

Section 2 — Severe Disabilities Categories Code 46 c) Assistance with personal care/health-related interventions provided during 2009/2010: X assistance with personal hygiene lifts and transfers feeding program catheterization respiratory therapy oxygen administration a-tube feeding management of equipment OT/PT therapy administration of medication O&M training mobility training (wheelchair. other walker) d) Frequent documentation of behavioural and/or medical status during 2009/2010: checklist anecdotal notes behaviour plan Behaviour Frequency ⇒ daily weekly monthly hourly Medical medical logs medical emergency plan other Frequency ⇒ hourly daily weekly monthly e) Direct support services for the student at a cost to the system provided during 2009/2010: e.g., Regional Educational Consulting Service teams: (REACH, CASE, ERECS, RESEAU), Student Health Partnership. Services must be provided to the individual student on a regularly scheduled basis. vision consultant itinerant teacher speech therapist special education consultant ATL consultant nursing services hearing consultant registered psychologist audiologist occupational therapist physical therapist behaviour specialist X O&M specialist school liaison worker school counsellor other

- Individualized Program Plan (IPP) is in place for 2009/2010:
 - X The current IPP identifies the assessed needs of the student and includes specific educational programming to address those needs.
 - This IPP has been developed, implemented and signed by the learning team, including the parent/quardian.

DECLARATION

Relevant assessment documentation to support the claim is available, at least three of five supports listed in 3 (a-e) are in place, a current IPP is included in the student's file, and the student meets the 2009/2010 severe disabilities coding criteria.

September 30, 2009

L. Clark

Date

Signature of School Authority Designate

Individualized Program Plan

Student Information

Student: Shannon

Date of Birth: 06/30/2000 Age as of Sept. 1/2009: 9

Parents: Mrs. Parent Date I.P.P. Created: September 2009

Address: Phone #:

Eligibility Code: 46

Year of School/Grade: 4

Background information: Classroom context

School: Battner School

I.P.P. Coordinator and Classroom Teacher: Mrs. Jones

Additional IPP Team Members: Mrs. Parent, mother

Tom Brown, vision consultant

Fred Greene, orientation and mobility specialist

Sally Schaeffer, teacher assistant

Background Information: Parental input and involvement

Strengths

- academically bright
- supportive family
- enthusiastic
- · good fine motor skills
- sense of humour
- loves pets

Areas of Need

- braille skills
- · visual efficiency skills
- · orientation and mobility
- increase independent use of assistive technology (CCTV, magnifier)
- social skills
- personal groomingvisual efficiency
- · leisure and recreation skills

| Medical Conditions that Impact Schooling | | Coordinated Support Services |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Ocular albinism eyes after corre Nystagmus | n; visual acuity 6/60 in both ection | RECS (Regional Educational consulting Services) – one hour per month CNIB MRU |
| ☐ No current me schooling | edical conditions that impact | ☐ None required at this time |

Assessment Data (Specialized Assessment Results)

| Date | Test | Results |
|----------------|---|---|
| May 2008 | • TOWL-3 | written language skills at grade level |
| March 2008 | functional vision assessment – Tom Brown | see report |
| | Peabody Mobility Kit for Blind Students | see report |
| | Assessment for Low Vision for Educational Programs | see report |
| September 2007 | Eye report – on file | visual acuity 6/60 in best eye with correction nystagmus (varies from mild to severe depending on fatigue, degree of visual challenge and wellness) |

| Current Level of Performance and Achievement | Year-end Summary |
|--|---|
| September March 2008 Woodcock Johnson-3 Achievement Battery Reading Comprehension: approximately one year below grade level Math computation: at grade level Math problem solving: approximately one year delay Overall Language skills within average range for age | June |
| Grade Level of Achievement Reporting I | nformation |
| ☐ Adapted programming (graded curriculum) | |
| ☐ Modified programming (not graded curriculu | m) |
| If student is on a modified program, indicate relative to each goal category: | category of each goal and achievement level |
| skills) | ns, classroom behaviour, gross and fine motor |
| Goals achieved: all most | some none not applicable |
| academic readiness skills (e.g., reading outcomes in the programs of study in Gra Goals achieved: | |
| life skills (e.g., skills that will assist the shome, school and community) | tudent in developing independence in the |
| Goals achieved: all most | □ some □ none □ not applicable |

Long-term Goal: Shannon will master Grade 4 work as measured by classroom tests based on the Programs of Study, while addressing her specific needs through different techniques of accessing print and classroom materials, improving her acquisition of braille, reading and writing skills.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Shannon participates fully in Grade 4 Language Arts using braille. Shannon's braille reading rate will increase by 10 words per minute by December. | Tom Brown will assess Shannon's braille skills including reading rate in December. | | |
| Shannon will use her braille reading and writing skills to complete 100% of social studies assignments without loss of performance by December. | Shannon is assessed in social studies using classroom criterion. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- · white cane
- BrailleNote, brailler, braille printer, computer, printer
- CCTV and monocular
- slant board
- desk magnifier

Long-term Goal: Shannon will use assistive technology commensurate with her abilities to access Grade 4 classroom work.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Shannon will use a monocular, CCTV and desk magnifier to read information from the board and her textbook well enough to complete all Grade 4 math assignments. | Tom Brown will assess Shannon's monocular, CCTV and organization skills. Shannon is completing assignments and tests as measured by classroom criterion. | | |
| Shannon will use BrailleNote and computer to complete three assignments per week by December. Her accuracy with assignments will be consistent with her performance on other assignments. | Tom Brown and teacher determine which assignments Shannon will complete and track this information. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- · BrailleNote, brailler, braille printer, computer, printer
- CCTV and monocular
- · slant board
- desk magnifier
- desk to accommodate brailler and CCTV materials
- · shelving for braille books, large print materials

Long-term Goal: Shannon will be able to travel safely and efficiently anywhere within the school building with 100% accuracy by the end of the school year.

| Short-term Objectives | Assessment Procedures | Progress Review |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Shannon will be able to instruct individuals assisting her with sighted guide by providing correct information regarding techniques by December with 100% accuracy. | Orientation and mobility specialist will assess Shannon's knowledge of sighted guide and her ability to transfer these skills. | |
| Shannon will effectively transfer the skills taught by the O & M instructor into her daily routine at school with 80% efficiency by December | Orientation and mobility specialist will access Shannon's ability to transfer her O & M skills into her daily routine. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| sach it | 0 | can | 0 |
|---------|----|------|---|
| AAIII | 10 | Cail | Ç |

Planning for Transition

- · Shannon has access to curriculum materials at the same time as her sighted peers.
- Shannon has access to teacher prepared materials at the same time as her classmates.
- Order materials from MRU by April 2010 for the 2010/2011 school year.
- · Meet with CNIB in April to determine availability of summer programming opportunities.
- Inform family of CNIB library services so that Shannon has access to reading materials in leisure time
- · Outline orientation and mobility needs for Grade 5 as well as in the home and community,
- Identify members of the learning team for the 2010/2011 school year and outline roles and responsibilities. Determine frequency and level of involvement.
- Ensure that Shannon's furniture requirements are moved into the receiving environment.

| Additional Information | |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| Signatures | |
| I understand and agree with the information co | ontained in this Individualized Program Plan. |
| Parents | Date |
| IPP Coordinator/Teacher | Date |
| Principal | Date |

Section 3 — Appendices

Appendix A: Student Monitoring Form

Appendix B: Profil de l'élève ayant une déficience grave (formulaire)

Appendix C: Recording Anecdotal Information - Code 42

Appendix D: Commonly Asked Questions

Appendix E: Glossary
Appendix F: Resources



Student Monitoring Form Severe Disabilities Funding 2009/2010

| | PLEASE CHECK CODE |
|----|--|
| 41 | Severe Cognitive |
| 42 | Severe Emotional/Behavioural |
| 43 | Severe Multiple |
| 44 | Severe Physical or Medical, including Autism |
| 45 | Deafness |
| 46 | Blindness |

| | 45 Deafne | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ol Authority | | | |
| ol | | | |
| nt Name | | | |
| | | | |
| ta Student Number (ASN) | | | |
| date (yy/mm/dd) | Gra | ide | |
| ment (describe) | | | |
| upporting documentation from the appro | priate professional | (s) (please attach | copies): |
| Diagnosis | Year of Diagnosis | | I Conducting ssment |
| ow does the condition/disability impact to | he student in the le | arning environme | ent? |
| | | | |
| ervices provided in accordance with the interpretation of the control of the control of the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interpretation. | following supports | from (a) to (e) tha | es 2009-2010 at are being |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one intervals. | following supports | from (a) to (e) the uring 2009/2010: | at are being |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interview of the student interview of the student interview. | following supports vention provided du | from (a) to (e) the uring 2009/2010: (hours per day). | at are being (staff student ratio) |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interview of the student specialized one-on-one interview of the specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher. | following supports vention provided du | from (a) to (e) the pring 2009/2010: (hours per day): (hours per day) | (staff student ratio) |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interview of the student of the specialized setting with teacher of small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant | following supports vention provided du | from (a) to (e) that tring 2009/2010: (hours per day): (hours per day) (hours per day) | (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) |
| shool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interview of the specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter | following supports vention provided du | from (a) to (e) the pring 2009/2010: (hours per day): (hours per day) | (staff student ratio) |
| shool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one intervious specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) | rention provided du | from (a) to (e) that ring 2009/2010: (hours per day). (hours per day) (hours per day) (hours per day) | (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interval in the specialized setting with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assisting interpreter and/or assisting interpreter other (specify) | rention provided ducher assistant | from (a) to (e) that uring 2009/2010: (hours per day). (hours per day) (hours per day) (hours per day) d during 2009/20 | (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one intervious specialized setting with teacher small group work with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assisting communication devices | rention provided ducher assistant | from (a) to (e) that uring 2009/2010: (hours per day). (hours per day) (hours per day) (hours per day) d during 2009/201/ | (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) |
| chool Year. Identify three or more of the ovided to the student: Frequent specialized one-on-one interval in the specialized setting with teacher and/or teacher assistant interpreter other (specify) b) Specialized equipment and/or assisting interpreter and/or assisting interpreter other (specify) | rention provided ducher assistant | from (a) to (e) that uring 2009/2010: (hours per day). (hours per day) (hours per day) (hours per day) d during 2009/20 | (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) (staff student ratio) |

Section 3 — Appendix A: Student Monitoring Form

| catheterization g-tube feeding administration other | of medication | respiratory therapy management of equipment O&M training | feeding program oxygen administration OT/PT therapy mobility training (wheelchair walker) |
|---|--|---|---|
| Behaviour Medical | | necdotal notes behaviour hourly daily medical emergency pla hourly daily | plan other monthly |
| e.g. Regional Ed | ducational Consulting Set on consultant tant erapist | student at a cost to the system vice teams. (REACH, CASE, ERECS, Ral student on a regularly scheduled basis and speech therapist. ATL consultant. registered psychologist. physical therapist. school liaison worker. | RESEAU). Student Health Partnership. |
| The current IPF programming to | P identifies the asser o address those nee een developed, impl | ssed needs of the student and in ds. emented and signed by the lear | |
| CLARATION | documentation to | is included in the student's fi | at least three of five supports ile, and the student meets the |
| | | eria. | |



| | SVP COCHEZ UNE CATÉGORIE |
|----|---|
| 41 | Déficience cognitive grave |
| 42 | Déficience émotive et comportementale grave |
| 43 | Déficiences graves multiples |
| 44 | Déficience physique ou médicale grave (autisme) |
| 45 | Surdité |
| 46 | Cécité |

| Profil de l'élève ayant une déficience grave (formulaire) Financement 2009-2010 | 43 Déficience | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Autorité scolaire | | | | |
| École | | | | |
| Nom de l'élève | | COCCUS TO THE MARKET STATE OF THE STATE OF T | | |
| Numéro d'identification de l'élève) | | | | |
| Date de naissance (aa/mm/jj)) | N | veau scolaire | 3000 (0.00 to 10.00 t | |
| Placement (décrivez) | | | de bione, et al. and en | |
| Documentation d'appui des profess | sionnels concernés (veuil | lez inclure dans le do | ssier de l'élève) : | |
| Diagnostic | Date du diagnostic | Professionels | faisant le diagnostic | |
| Information supplémentaire (telle que cette control de la | | | ent d'apprentissage? | |
| 3. Services offerts selon le manuel de des déficiences graves (Funding Mau moins trois services d'appui (de a) Instruction/intervention courant aide-enseignant petit groupe de travail avec enseignant | anual for School Author « a » à « e ») présentem le qui est spécialisée et | ities 2009-2010 So ent offerts à l'élè | chool Year. Identifiez ve: | |
| local spécialisé avec enseignant | _ | (heures par jour) | _ (rapport élèves/personnel scolaire) | |
| interprète | | (heures par jour) | (rapport élèves/personnel scolaire) | |
| autre (spécifiez) | | | | |
| b) Équipement spécialisé ou adap | té – 2009/2010: | | | |
| appareils de communication aide par technologie d'ordinateur amplificateur de voix autre (spécifiez) | équipement p mobilier spéci système FM logiciel spécia | alisé | ou la physiothérapie | |

| □ aida nava las | besoms essentier | s – 2009/2010: | |
|---|---|---|---|
| aide pour les | soins d'hygiène | sonde | onctions alimentaires - entraînement |
| aide pour les | | thérapie respiratoire a | dministration d'oxygène |
| alimentation par tube | par tube-g | = = | rgothérapie/physiothérapie |
| administration | n de médicaments | entraînement à l'utilisation d | de fauteuil roulant ou de marchette |
| entraînement | à l'orientation et à la | motricité autre (spécifiez |) |
| d) Documentation | on régulière sur le d | comportement et la santé – 20 | 009/2010: |
| Comportern | ent liste de contrôle | notes plan de comport | autre autre |
| | Fréquence . | par heure par jour | par semaine par mois |
| Médical | journal médica | plan de soins médicau d'urgence | ux autre |
| | Fréquence • | par heure par jour | par semaine par mois |
| spécialiste de ergothérapeut | | consultant en technologie psychologue enregistré physiothérapeute | audiologiste spécialiste du comportement |
| psychiatre | | agent de liaison | conseiller pédagogique |
| Tautes (enterifical) | | | |
| autre (spécifiez) | | | |
| | on personnalisée (P | PIP): | |
| Plan d'interventio | urs, pertinent à la déf | | t besoins individuels de l'élève, est inté formellement. |
| Plan d'intervention Un PIP en cou élaboré, signé | urs, pertinent à la dét è par l'équipe-école e | ficience décrite ci-dessus et aux et par le parent/gardien, et impla | nté formellement. |
| Plan d'intervention Un PIP en cou élaboré, signé CLARATION de documentation p | urs, pertinent à la dét è par l'équipe-école e pertinente à la dema IP en cours est incl | ficience décrite ci-dessus et aux et par le parent/gardien, et impla ande est disponible, trois des | |

| Sample Chart for Recording | Anecdotal Information - Code 42 |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|

| Student: | School: |
|----------|---------|
| | |

| Grade | Week: |
|-------|-------|
| Grade | Week |

| Behaviour | Frequency | Location | Context | Function of Behaviour | Adult Response | Result |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| What does the student say or lo that is problematic? No judgments or conclusions, just what was abserved.) | How many times during the week was each behaviour observed? | Where is each behaviour occurring? | What was the student asked to do? What happened immediately prior to the student engaging in the problematic behaviour? | What was the student trying to get or to avoid? | How did the observing adults respond to the student's behaviour? | Did the student comply or was there further escalation immediately following the adult response? How was the incident resolved? |

Teacher's signature

Date

91

92

Teacher's signature

Date

| Chart for Recording Anecdotal Information – Code 42 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|---------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| tudent: | | | School: | | | |
| Grade | | | Week: | | | |
| Behaviour | Frequency | Location | Context | Function of Behaviour | Adult Response | Result |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Answers to Commonly Asked Questions

The following are answers to commonly asked questions and examples of best practices.

Why does Alberta Education need all this information?

Alberta Education reviews documentation to determine if students meet the criteria for severe disabilities funding. Criteria include diagnosis, effect of the diagnosis on the student in a learning environment, identified supports and services in place, and current, implemented IPPs. The results of the documentation review are used to approve severe disability funding for private schools, charter schools and DSEPS. Where a jurisdiction requests an audit, the documentation is used to adjust jurisdiction severe disabilities profile numbers.

What is the relationship between the diagnosis and the severity of the disability? Diagnoses are important in determining whether students have special education needs, but the degree of need is determined by the impact of the conditions on school performance and the extent to which accommodations and supports are required for students to learn and be successful in the classroom. The relationship between a clinical diagnosis and the required level of special education programming and supports is not direct. The level of special education need is determined by an assessment of:

- · the number of areas of functioning affected
- · the extent to which functioning is affected in each area
- · the effect on others
- the amount of support required.

What are "services at a cost to the system"?

Additional costs may be incurred only in cases where the professional is working directly with the student related to the goals and strategies of the IPP. For example, the school counsellor may work directly with an individual student on anger management, on a regularly scheduled basis to help meet a goal on the student's IPP. Cases where the counsellor is working on career counselling, ensuring that a student's homework book is signed, or working with a class on issues, are not eligible levels of support.

Does principal/administration time qualify as a level of service? No.

What if all of the student information is not available at the time of the file review? Student information, including assessment information and the student's IPP, must be in place for review by Alberta Education so that timely approvals of severe disabilities funding or adjustments to jurisdiction profiles can be made.

Glossary

| Accommodations | Any changes to instructional strategies, assessment procedures, materials, resources, facilities or equipment. Programming that retains the learning outcomes of the Program of Studies and where adjustments to the instructional process are provided to address the special education needs of the student. | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Adapted programming | | | | |
| Assessment data | Diagnostic assessment data used to determine special education programming and services. | | | |
| Augmentive communication devices | Technology designed to enhance communication, such as automated communication board; Dynavox; SpeakEasy. | | | |
| Brailler | A machine used to produce braille—a system of writing and printing for persons with vision loss which uses raised points or dots. | | | |
| Current documentation | Current documentation refers to assessment data from specialists, including educational assessments; medical/health assessments such as vision, hearing, physical, neurological, speech and language assessments, occupational therapy, physiotherapy, behavioural, psychological or psychiatric assessments. Current assessment data/documentation is generally considered to be data that has been collected within the last two or three years. | | | |
| Frequency modulation (FM) system | An amplification system that is worn by the student with hearing loss. The speaker/ teacher wears a microphone and the speaker's voice is transmitted to a receiver which is directed into the student's hearing aids. | | | |
| Functional assessment | Includes both formal (some objective data such as a standardized behavioural checklist) and anecdotal and/or direct observations. In addition to a description of clinically relevant behaviour, the assessment should provide information on the student's functioning in the school environment in such areas as: social functioning organization/ind/apendent work skills related to both academic and non-academic tasks life skills safety and support needs | | | |
| Individualized program plan (IPP): | A concise plan of action that is designed to address the student's specific special education needs and is based on diagnostic information which provides the basis for intervention strategies, and includes the following essential information: • assessment data • current level of performance and achievement • identification of strengths and areas of need • measurable goals and objectives • procedures for evaluating student progress • identification of coordinated support services (including health-related), if required • relevant medical information • required classroom accommodations (e.g., any changes to instructional strategies, assessment procedures, materials, resources, facilities or equipment) • transition plans • year-end summary. | | | |
| ltinerant teacher | Teacher specializing in a particular area of disability who provides direct programming support to the student, teacher and staff. Often included as part of the school team and participates in the development of student IPPs. | | | |

| Modified programming | The learning outcomes are significantly different from the provincial curriculum and are specifically selected to meet students' special education needs. | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sound field amplification system | An amplification system that allows all students to hear equally well no matter where they are located in the classroom. The system consists of a wireless microphone used by a speaker, with the voice being amplified within the room. | | |

Resources

Administration Resources

The following Alberta Education resources are available on the department's website at: www.education.alberta.ca.

Handbook for the Identification and Review of Students with Severe Disabilities 2009/2010 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Funding Manual for School Authorities 2009-2010 School Year www.education.alberta.ca/admin/funding/manual.aspx

Requirements for Special Education in Accredited-Funded Private Schools (2006) www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/programming/private.aspx

Special Education Coding Criteria 2009/2010 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004 www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Information Package for Alberta School Authorities for the 2009/2010 School Year In preparation for data exchange activities for the 2009/2010 school year, Information Services Branch has consolidated some information that is applicable to the operations in your school. This material is available at: www.education.alberta.ca/admin/resources/forms/schoolpackage09-10.aspx.

Student Information System User's Guide

This is a complete guide to submitting student registrations. The Student Information System (SIS) is a provincial student information database developed and maintained by Learner Records and Data Exchange (LRDE) at Alberta Education. www.education.alberta.ca/admin/resources/forms/schoolpackage09-10.aspx

Special Education Programming Resources

The following Alberta Education resources can be purchased from the Learning Resources Centre. For ordering information, visit www.lrc.education.gov.ab.ca or telephone (780) 427-5775, toll-free in Alberta at 310-0000. The books marked with are also available in pdf format from

www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources.aspx

Building on Success: Helping Students Make Transitions from Year to Year (2006) This resource provides practical strategies and sample tools for helping students make successful transitions from one school year to the next. The sample tools can be used to help students, parents and teachers collect, organize and share information about a student's individual strengths, abilities and learning needs. Accompanying CD-ROM contains both Word and pdf versions of sample tools.

The Learning Team: A Handbook for Parents of Children with Special Needs (2003) This book for parents of children with special needs is a revision and expansion of the resource Partners During Changing Times (1996). It provides a general overview of how parents can be involved in the education of their children and includes:

- · strategies for participating in the child's learning team
- · suggestions for parents to enhance and enrich their child's learning at home
- · practical information and suggestions for:
 - helping children make successful transitions
 - voicing parent concerns
 - staying informed.

Essential Components of Educational Programming series

The Essential Components of Educational Programming series is intended to support appropriate educational programming, as outlined in the Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004. The series has been designed for classroom teachers, resource personnel, administrators and parents.

Four books are available in pdf format at www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/programming/components.aspx

- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students with Behaviour Disabilities
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students Who Are Blind or Visually Impaired
- Essential Components of Educational Programming for Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing

Programming for Students with Special Needs series

Book 1 — Teaching for Student Differences (1995)

Highlights strategies for differentiating instruction within the regular classroom for students who may be experiencing learning or behavioural difficulties, or who may be gifted and talented. It also describes a process for modifying the regular program and includes forms to assist in teacher planning.

Book 2 — Essential and Supportive Skills for Students with Developmental Disabilities (1995) Includes developmental checklists for communication skills, gross and fine motor skills, as well as charts and checklists, which provide a continuum of life skills by domain (domestic and family life, personal and social development, leisure/recreation/arts, citizenship and community involvement, career development). It replaces the Alberta Education curricula (1983) for educable mentally handicapped, trainable mentally handicapped and dependent mentally handicapped students.

Book 3 — Individualized Program Planning (2006)

This resource describes a process for IPP development and includes strategies for involving parents. It provides information on writing long-term goals and short-term objectives. Forms and checklists are included to assist in planning. Transition planning also is addressed, along with case studies and samples of completed IPPs.

Book 4 — Teaching Students Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing (1995) Includes information on the nature of hearing loss, various communication systems, program planning and teaching strategies. A section on amplification and educational technologies includes hints for troubleshooting hearing aids and FM systems.

Book 5 — Teaching Students with Visual Impairments (1996)

This resource offers basic information to help provide successful school experiences for

students who are blind or visually impaired. The book addresses:

- the nature of visual impairment
- · educational implications
- specific needs
- · instructional strategies
- · the importance of orientation and mobility instruction
- the use of technology.

Book 6 — Teaching Students with Learning Disabilities (1996)

This resource provides practical strategies for regular classroom and special education teachers. Section I discusses the conceptual model and applications of the domain model. Section II includes identification and program planning, addressing early identification, assessment, learning styles and long-range planning. Section III contains practical strategies within specific domains including meta-cognitive, information processing, communication, academic and social/adaptive. Section IV addresses other learning difficulties including attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and fetal alcohol syndrome/possible prenatal alcohol-related effects. The appendices contain lists of annotated resources, test inventories, support network contacts and black line masters.

Book 7 — Teaching Students Who are Gifted and Talented (2000)

This resource provides practical strategies for regular classroom and special education teachers. Section I addresses administration of programs for the gifted and talented at both the district and school levels. Section II discusses concepts of giftedness, highlighting nine theoretical models. Section III discusses identification of gifted and talented students, developing IPPs, and and involving parents. Section IV discusses giftedness in the visual and performing arts. Section V contains strategies for designing and implementing programs, including curriculum modification. Section VI discusses post-modernism and gifted education. The appendices contain lists of annotated resources, test inventories, support network contacts and black-line masters.

Book 8 — Teaching Students with Emotional Disorders and/or Mental Illnesses (2000) This resource takes a comprehensive look at six emotional disorders or mental illnesses—eating disorders, anxiety disorders, depression, schizophrenia, oppositional defiant disorder or illness—and presents strategies for teachers, parents and other caregivers to use to assist students.

Book 9 — Teaching Students with Autism Spectrum Disorders (2003)

This resource provides basic knowledge about this spectrum of disabilities, educational programming implications and programming strategies.

Book 10 — Teaching Students with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder: Building Strengths, Creating Hope (2004)

This resource is a revision and expansion of *Teaching Students with Fetal Alcohol Syndrome and Possible Prenatal Alcohol-related Effects* (1997), a teacher handbook developed by Alberta Education and the Alberta Partnership on Fetal Alcohol Syndrome. It includes key considerations for planning effective education programs and strategies for creating a positive classroom climate, organizing for instruction and responding to students' individual needs.

Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools

This three-part resource provides information, strategies, stories from schools and sample tools for systematically teaching, supporting and reinforcing positive behaviour. This integrated system of school-wide classroom management and individual student support is designed to provide school staff with effective strategies to improve behavioural outcomes in their school. The resource is available in pdf format at www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources/behaviour.aspx

Part 1: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: A school-wide approach Describes a comprehensive school-wide approach that involves all students, all staff and all school settings.

Part 2: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: A classroom approach Provides information and strategies for systematically teaching, supporting and reinforcing positive behaviour in the classroom.

Part 3: Supporting Positive Behaviour in Alberta Schools: An intensive individualized approach

Provides information and strategies for providing intensive, individualized support and instruction for the small percentage of students requiring this level of intervention.

Individualized Program Planning (2006)

A revision of Book 3 in the *Programming for Students with Special Needs* series, this resource is for ECS to Grade 12. It provides information and sample strategies that teachers can use to develop and implement an effective and student-focused individualized program plan (IPP). It also includes expanded information on the IPP requirements in *Standards for Special Education, Amended June 2004* and *Requirements for Special Education in Accredited-Funded Private Schools*. The resource and related workshop materials are available in pdf format at www.education.alberta.ca/admin/special/resources/ipp.aspx

Chapters:

- 1. Working Through the IPP Process
- 2. Encouraging Parent Involvement
- 3. Supporting Student Participation
- 4. Creating a Network of Support
- 5. Using Classroom Assessment to Support the IPP Process
- 6. Selecting Accommodations and Strategies
- 7. Making Goals Meaningful, Measurable and Manageable
- 8. Planning for Transitions
- 9. Infusing Assistive Technology for Learning into the IPP Process
- 10. Getting Off to a Good Start in ECS
- 11. Planning for Students who are Gifted
- 12. Promising Practices for Junior and Senior High School.

